Call to Order:
Kathy Galvin called the meeting to order at 4:04 p.m.

Matters from the Public:
Sean Tubbs from the Piedmont Environmental Council spoke about two projects on the LRTP that he hoped would remain on the vision list. The first is the Sunset/Fontaine connector and the second is the South Pantops connector bridge.

Response to Matters from the Public:
N/A

Review and Acceptance of the Agenda:
The agenda was unanimously accepted with that change.

Approval of Minutes:
Mr. Signer moved to approve the minutes and Mr. Lynch seconded and the minutes were approved unanimously.

2045 LRTP
Mr. zumFelde discussed the timeline for the overall process. Scenario A deals with Capacity, Scenario B deals with Connectivity and Scenario C deals with Multi-modal. As a side note, Hydraulic/29, Fontaine/250 Bypass and West Main Street Multi-modal are in every scenario.

Mr. Proctor noted that none of the scenarios are constrained yet.

Mr. zumFelde noted that the model does not include bike/ped improvements. He went on to present scenario results including the feedback received from CTAC.

Mr. Lynch asked whether smart vehicles had been taken into consideration.
Mr. zumFelde replied that they will probably be in a model next time the LRTP is completed, but not for this one.

Mr. Gallaway expressed concern that there isn’t that big of a change with the improvements. He asked why it would be a good idea to spend the money on the improvements if there is only a small increase.

Mr. Boyles noted that as this measure is continually used to narrow projects down, only then will the staff and the board be able to drill down on ROI.

Mr. Proctor said that once the board gets to a preferred scenario and project list, then they will get constrained.

**Potential Priority Projects**
Mr. zumFelde noted that the City’s Neighborhood Development Services responded to the scenarios by stating they do NOT want to move forward:
- South Pantops bridge
- Free Bridge project

There will be a meeting on October 17 to discuss the public’s opinions on the project scenarios. The goal for that meeting will be to determine what projects won’t move forward and what will stay on the visioning list.

Mr. zumFelde noted that at the next meeting, or next several meetings, the MPO will have a better idea of money for the projects.

Mr. Signer noted that each of the projects are so significant that he felt it was important to review each scenario one by one.

Mr. Proctor noted that during the last round there was more information to review on the projects, but this round there is less time available to review them all. He also noted that each of these projects will remain in the vision list.

Ms. Monteith voiced that she feels like she needs a better understanding of the projects before she would be comfortable making decisions about them.

Mr. Gallaway wants to rank each of the projects.

Mr. Proctor noted that the projects have been ranked in every round.

Ms. Galvin asked for an additional meeting to discuss the projects. She suggested that an email be sent to other members to see if there are other questions that need to be answered. She also said having the October 17 data would be very helpful in making those decisions.

Mr. Proctor said the meeting would need to be two hours to discuss the projects in depth.
MPA-21 Performance Measures
Mr. zumFelde reminded the Board that MAP-21 is federally mandated and requires that states and MPOs set performance targets related to Safety (PM1), Asset Condition (PM2), System Performance (PM3), and Transit Asset Management (PM4). The MPO must set targets for PM2 and PM3 by November 14 and PM4 by October 1. The MPO has the option to adopt the statewide targets or set their own based on local conditions. He also reminded the Board that these performance measures must be included in the TIP and the LRTP.

He asked the board to either adopt the statewide target or decide to create their own.

Mr. Gallaway made a motion to adopt the performance measures as recommended by the Staff. Mr. Lynch seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Staff Reports
TIP
Mr. zumFelde noted there was a slight administrative adjustment to the TIP. There was a shift in monies from bridge maintenance to road maintenance.

Mr. zumfelde noted that the Tier II Statewide Transit Asset Management Plan (TAM) will be included in TIP and LRTP going forward.

Mr. Gallaway made a motion to approve the TAM Plan and Mr. Lynch seconded and the motion was passed unanimously.

Jefferson Area Bike/Ped Plan
Mr. zumFelde went on to report on the Jefferson Area Bike/Ped Plan. He said it is a regional plan with urban and rural focus, but most of the recommendations are in the urban area. There has been public outreach with both the PDC and the PEC. There has been a review of the existing recommendations, and corridors and projects have been identified.

He went on to say that they have created a map of the existing network with what the existing plans are and also the proposed/recommended corridors.

There is a public open house on October 17 from 5:30 – 7:30 in the Water Street Center to get input from the public on this. The goal is to have the plan adopted in January.

RTP
Mr. zumFelde reported the next meeting for the RTP is on October 24 at 4:00 p.m.

Mr. Boyles reported that he is meeting with a group on 10/27 at 12:30 to develop a service agreement between the City and the County regarding transit services to put into place fiscal year 2020.

MPO/SAW Joint Meeting
The Annual MPO/SAW joint meeting will be on October 10 at 10 a.m. The location has been changed to the transit facility in Fishersville

The next MPO meeting will be on November 28 and the meeting to review and create a priority list for the Smart Scale projects to be determined.
Additional Matters from the Public
Mr. Neal Williamson mentioned that according to the MPO rules, it is necessary to have one person from each jurisdiction at the meeting to meet quorum.

Ms. Galvin asked to put that reminder information in the email to the Board members re: the next meeting.

Ms. Galvin adjourned the meeting at 5:51 p.m.