## AGENDA  
**MPO Citizens Transportation Advisory Committee**

Wednesday, July 19, 2023 @ 7:00 p.m.  
Water Street Center, 407 E. Water Street  
Charlottesville, VA 22902

For Remote Participation in Compliance with Adopted Remote Meeting Policy, Guest Speakers, and Members of Public  
Zoom Meeting Link: [https://us02web.zoom.us/j/85858179276?pwd=L1lsdXBCZ3ZlTmEwWGdCT0pyZ1R3QT09](https://us02web.zoom.us/j/85858179276?pwd=L1lsdXBCZ3ZlTmEwWGdCT0pyZ1R3QT09)  
Meeting ID: 858 5817 9276  
Password: 518236

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>7:00</td>
<td><strong>Attendance</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 1    | 7:05   | **Matters from the Public**  
Members of the public are welcome to provide comment on any public-interest, transportation-related topic, including items listed on this agenda – limit three minutes per speaker |
| 2    | 7:10   | **Matters from the Committee**  
Members of the Cmte. are welcome to provide comment on any public-interest, transportation-related topic, including items listed on this agenda |
| 3    | 7:15   | **Approval of Draft Citizens Transportation Advisory Cmte. Meeting Minutes** - Ryan Mickles, CA-MPO  
- Draft January 18, 2023 Mtg. Minutes* *(attachment)*  
- Draft March 15, 2023 Mtg. Minutes* *(attachment)*  
- Draft May 17, 2023 Notes *(attachment)* |
| 4    | 7:20   | **Moving Toward 2050** – Sandy Shackelford, CA-MPO  
- Public Engagement Update |
|      | 7:35   | ![LRTP Project Website](https://campo.tjpdc.org/process-documents/lrtp/2050-lrtp/) |
| 5    | 7:35   | **SMART SCALE**  
- Commonwealth Transportation Board Discussions about Changes in Round 6 – Sandy Shackelford, CA-MPO  
- Round 6 Project Development – Sandy Shackelford, CA-MPO  
- VDOT Pipeline Projects – Michael Barnes, VDOT |
| 6    | 8:20   | **Federal Grant Updates**  
- RAISE Grant – Rivanna River Bicycle and Pedestrian Bridge  
- Safe Streets and Roads for All – Comprehensive Safety Action Plan |
| 7    | 8:30   | **Additional Matters from the Public**  
Members of the public are welcome to provide comment on any public-interest, transportation-related topic, including items listed on this agenda – limit three minutes per speaker |
|      | 8:35   | (*) A recommendation to the MPO Policy Board and/or vote is expected for this item |

TJPDC fully complies with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 in all programs and activities. TJPDC provides reasonable accommodations for persons who require special assistance to participate in public involvement opportunities. For more information, to request translation services or other accommodations, or to obtain a Discrimination Complaint Form, contact (434) 979-7310 or [www.tjpdc.org](http://www.tjpdc.org).
Citizen Transportation Advisory Committee
Draft Meeting Minutes: January 18, 2023

Video of this meeting can be found at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tWVV-XVMCSU

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VOTING MEMBERS &amp; ALTERNATES</th>
<th>STAFF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lee Kondor, Albemarle County</td>
<td>x Sandy Shackelford, TJPDC/CAMPO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stuart Gardner, MPO</td>
<td>x Lucinda Shannon, TJPDC/CAMPO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lucas Beane, City of Charlottesville</td>
<td>X Gretchen Thomas, TJPDC *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donna Chen, MPO</td>
<td>x Christine Jacobs, TJPDC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicholas Garber, Albemarle</td>
<td>X Ryan Mickles, TJPDC/CAMPO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greg Weaver, City of Charlottesville</td>
<td>X Chuck Proctor, VDOT Culpeper District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethan Heil, City of Charlottesville</td>
<td>X Michael Barnes, VDOT Culpeper District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marty Meth, Albemarle County</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karim Habbab, City of Charlottesville PC</td>
<td>X GUESTS/PUBLIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patrick Healy, City of Charlottesville</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* attended virtually via Zoom

0. CALL TO ORDER.
   Committee Chair, Lee Kondor, called the meeting to order at 7:00 pm. A quorum was present.

1. MATTERS FROM THE PUBLIC
   None

2. APPROVAL OF DRAFT MEETING MINUTES
   A motion was made, and seconded, to approve the draft January 18, 2023 minutes. The vote passed unanimously.

3. ROUND 5 SMART SCALE PROJECT COST ESTIMATES
   Sandy Shackelford presented the committee with the cost estimates for Round 5 Smart Scale and noted that the estimates may be going up do to supply chain issues and inflation. She noted that the MPO submission, Avon Street Multi-Modal project, was “recommended for funding.” There were two others recommended for the MPO area as well. Ms. Shackelford noted that there may be opportunities in the future to fund projects they have discussed in the past.

4. 2022 CA-MPO TRANSPORTATION UPDATE
5. **UPDATE ON LONG RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN “MOVING TOWARD 2050”**

Sandy Shackelford presented the committee with an update on the LRTP plan. She said she has been putting together discussion groups to meet in the near future. She then reviewed the draft content (provided with the agenda packet) and asked the committee for feedback.

Committee members referenced the following comments:
- Use bullets in lieu of paragraphs; use images and graphics to help communicate
- Liked format; but suggest enlarging the smaller Questions text
- Overlay title on each of the card
- Wordy in some parts (purple text section)
- Include more milestones
- Need more information on environment and connection to local action plan
- Enhance the “Why Should I Care” section

Ms. Shackelford noted that staff has met with VDOT on modeling on this project. She described the framework for the development of the needs and project prioritization process, how the goals were established, the process includes methodology for two thresholds for each measure, and they incorporated feedback from previous discussions, including equity and environmental factors.

She gave a general prioritization process overview, the draft goals, and gave an example of the calculation process.

She highlighted some differences in how roadway safety and pedestrian safety are considered.

She explained equity and accessibility and how it is measured.

She went on to explain mobility and system efficiency, including travel time index, travel time reliability, and bus transit on-time performance.

She expounded on Land Use & Econ Devt which identifies areas where there is access to non-work destinations to stimulate local econ, walk access to non-work destinations, and walk access to non-work destinations by disadvantaged populations.

Lastly, she described the environment and resiliency priorities.

She then reviewed the project prioritization scoring.

After some clarifying questions and a robust discussion on the prioritization process, Ryan Mickles reviewed the demographics and land use trends using the American Community Survey (ACS) 5-year estimates using demographics maps and charts.

6. **ADDITIONAL MATTERS FROM THE PUBLIC**

None
Mr. Kondor adjourned the meeting at 8:35 p.m.

The next meeting will be held on March 15, 2023 at 7:00 p.m.
0. CALL TO ORDER.
Committee Chair Mr. Lee Kondor called the meeting to order at 7:04 pm. A quorum was present.

1. MATTERS FROM THE PUBLIC.
None

Mr. Stuart Gardner asked if CTAC meeting agendas could include “Matters from the Committee” for members with items to share before the group. Staff saw no issues and agreed.

2. APPROVAL OF DRAFT JANUARY 18, 2023 MEETING MINUTES.
Staff will re-issue the draft minutes for approval at the May 2023 meeting.

3. UPDATE ON LONG RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN “MOVING TOWARD 2050”.
Ms. Sandy Shackelford gave the attached presentation and updates on the Feb2023 stakeholder meetings (pg1-11). She noted three separate stakeholder meetings were held on the long range plan draft goals and objectives; meetings included the business community, public safety personnel, and community partners. Consultants Kimley Horn and EPR facilitated discussions.

Cmte. members referenced environmental impact/climate and equity, and provided feedback on the revised goals and objectives (language) for “Land Use and Economic Development” and “Equity and Accessibility.

Mr. Marty Meth asked for clarity on land-use and economic development. Ms. Shackelford explained.
Mr. Kondor noted Ms. Shackelford and consultants did an excellent job capturing the discussion at the business stakeholder meeting he attended. Mr. Patrick Healy concurred, noting he attended the public safety stakeholder meeting.

Mr. Greg Weaver referenced the local rural area, its populations connecting to the urban area (transportation needs, long range planning). Mr. Kondor added referencing Crozet. Ms. Shackelford explained.

Mr. Chuck Proctor noted initiatives currently undertaken at VDOT and referenced multimodal planning (dedicated/segregated/shared facilities, concepts akin to complete streets).

Ms. Shackelford stated the long range transportation plan webpage had been updated with additional language and photographs, as well as changes to text format/page layout https://campo.tjpdc.org/process-documents/lrtp/2050-lrtp/. She noted much of the original content remained but particular texts/areas were emphasized (bold font, separated paragraphs, logos) to provide clarity. Ms. Shackelford noted the project timeline would be updated in the upcoming days and asked the Cmte. for comments or feedback. No comments/feedback provided.

4. **Federal Grants Update.**
   a. RAISE
   Ms. Shackelford presented on the Rebuilding American Infrastructure with Sustainability and Equity (RAISE) grant for Rivanna River Bicycle and Pedestrian Bridge Crossing, preliminary engineering (pg12-17). She noted TJPDC/MPO staff submitted an application and thanked VDOT for assisting. She hoped to receive funding to complete preliminary engineering, allowing VDOT roughly three years of work before resubmitting the Riv. River Bicycle-Ped Bridge Crossing project in Round 7 SMART SCALE.

   Mr. Gardner noted a recent federal announcement stated there would be additional discretionary grant funds available for communities for such things as electric charging stations; he asked if Albemarle or Charlottesville might apply. Ms. Shackelford explained.

   b. CSAP
   Ms. Shackelford gave the attached Comprehensive Safety Action Plan, Safe Streets and Roads for All grant presentation (pg18-23). She stated the TJPDC received the award to develop a multi-jurisdictional comprehensive safety action plan. The total award was $1.1m, with roughly half allocated to public engagement. She noted VDOT staff would assist in crash data and analysis.

   Mr. Meth inquired on the relative scope, number of participating jurisdictions, and staffing logistics. Ms. Shackelford explained.

5. **Additional Matters from the Public.**
   None

6. **Matters from CTAC Members.**
   Mr. Kondor recognized Mr. Gardner comments earlier in the meeting.

   There being no further business, Mr. Kondor adjourned the meeting at 8:19 p.m. The next Cmte. meeting is scheduled for May 16 2023, 7:00 p.m. at 401 East Water Street.
Stakeholder Discussion Group Feedback
Three Discussion Group Meetings:

• Business Community
• Safety Personnel
• Community Partners

• CA-MPO staff provided background on the purpose of the Moving Toward 2050 plan, a description of the planning process, and reviewed how feedback would be used
The purpose of Stakeholder Feedback:

- Inform how information is presented for broader public feedback
- Revise goal/objective language
- Add/remove goals or objectives
- Recategorize the goals/objectives
Original Draft Goals

Safety: Improve the safety of the transportation system for all users.

Environment: Reduce the negative environmental impacts of the transportation system.

Equity & Accessibility: Improve equitable access to jobs and opportunities through greater availability of mode choices that are affordable and efficient.

Land Use & Economic Development: Integrate transportation system improvements with land use planning.

Efficiency: Increase travel efficiency and system reliability for all modes.
Equity
Climate
Action
Safety
Environment
Accessibility
Land Use & Economic Development
Efficiency
Equity
Safety: Improve the safety of the transportation system for all users.

• Reduce frequency and severity of crashes.
• Improve comfort and safety for users of alternative modes of transportation.

Safety: Improve the safety of the transportation system for all users.

• Reduce frequency and severity of serious injury and fatal crashes.
• Improve comfort and safety for users of alternative modes of the multi-modal transportation system.
Environment: Reduce the negative environmental impacts of the transportation system.
• Minimize impacts of the transportation system on natural and built environment.
• Increase use of alternative modes of transportation.
• Integrate sustainable infrastructure practices into project design.
• Reduce vehicle emissions.
Equity & Accessibility: Improve equitable access to jobs and opportunities through greater availability of mode choices that are affordable and efficient.

• Increase mode choice for all users.
• Increase access to jobs and opportunities for historically underserved populations.
Land Use & Economic Development: Integrate transportation system improvements with land use planning.

• Provide multi-modal infrastructure in designated growth areas, mixed-use areas, and near community resources.
• Fill connectivity gaps in multi-modal network.
• Improve access to community resources for historically underserved populations.

Land Use & Economic Development: Align transportation system improvements with land use planning local land use goals.

• Provide multi-modal infrastructure in designated growth areas, mixed-use areas, and near community resources.
• Fill connectivity gaps in multi-modal network.
• Improve access to community resources for historically underserved populations.
Efficiency: Increase travel efficiency and system reliability for all modes.

- Improve roadway system reliability through operational improvements (intersection reconfiguration, traffic light coordination, etc.)
- Increase system capacity at identified bottlenecks.
- Maintain the existing system in a state of good repair.

Efficiency: Increase travel efficiency and system reliability for all modes.

- Improve roadway system reliability through operational improvements (intersection reconfiguration, traffic light coordination, etc.)
- Increase system capacity at identified bottlenecks.
- Fill bicycle and pedestrian connectivity gaps.
- Maintain the existing system in a state of good repair.
Next Steps:

- Finalize the language for goals and objectives
- Develop survey for broad public engagement
- Identify public intercept opportunities to gather input
- We will reach back out to schedule a second meeting with the Stakeholder Discussion Groups once we have an initial draft of our project priorities for additional feedback
Rivanna River Bike/Ped Bridge: RAISE Grant Application

- Work completed to date:
  - System need identification in multiple local and regional plans
  - Feasibility study completed
  - Substantive public engagement initiative completed
  - “Engineered conceptual design” completed in preparation for SMART SCALE application

- SMART SCALE benefits score very high:
  - #26 out of 394 projects submitted statewide in overall benefits
  - Scored particularly high in the environmental sustainability criteria and the access to jobs criteria
  - Project costs, which included high contingency factors, limited the competitiveness of the project to receive funding

- Need for potential mitigation is high due to the sensitive environmental context of the project location
  - Completion of preliminary engineering phase will answer many outstanding questions to reduce contingencies and develop better cost estimates
RAISE Grant Application

• Rebuilding American Infrastructure with Sustainability and Equity (RAISE) Discretionary Grant Program
  • Highly competitive
  • Covers all modes of transportation
  • Planning and implementation projects are both eligible to receive funding
  • $115 million has been designated for planning grants in FY23
    • Total funding available in FY23 is $775 million
  • Awards are based on strength of application as well as diversifying project types:
    • Modes
    • States
    • Urban versus Rural (Charlottesville considered rural)
Project Alignment with Merit Criteria

• Safety
• Environmental Sustainability
• Quality of Life
• Mobility and Community Connectivity
• Economic Competitiveness and Opportunity
• State of Good Repair
• Partnership and Collaboration
• Innovation
Project Evaluation

- Projects will be scored in each of the merit criteria as high, medium, low, or non-responsive.
- Projects will receive an overall Merit Rating:
  - Highly recommended – high scores in six criteria; no non-responsive scores
  - Recommended – between one and five of the criteria are high, no more than three are low, and none are non-responsive
  - Acceptable
  - Unacceptable
- Highly recommended projects advance to second-tier analysis
- Recommended projects will be further reviewed and considered for advancement
Proposed Project Scope

• Complete the Preliminary Engineering Project Phase at the selected alignment to include:
  • Hydraulic & Hydrologic Analysis
  • Geotechnical Analysis
  • Environmental Review
  • Development of functional design plans
  • Value engineering to identify opportunities to reduce project costs

• TJPDC staff will be project sponsor and fiscal agent

• VDOT will administer the technical aspects of the project
• Total Funding Requested: $3,010,752
• Grant awards will be announced by end of June
• Debrief available for projects not selected for awards
• Since the program is highly competitive, re-submitting the application in future rounds may be considered if the funding is not awarded this round
SAFE STREETS AND ROADS FOR ALL DISCRETIONARY GRANT PROGRAM
SS4A DISCRETIONARY GRANT PROGRAM BACKGROUND

- Established by the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law
- $5 - $6 Billion available over the next five years
- Goal of preventing roadway deaths and serious injuries
- Two types of grants:
  - Planning
  - Implementation
- Eligibility for implementation funding is dependent on first having a qualifying Comprehensive Safety Action Plan in place
COMPREHENSIVE SAFETY ACTION PLAN COMPONENTS

- Leadership commitment
- Oversight group to develop, implement, and monitor
- Comprehensive safety data analysis
- Robust public and stakeholder engagement
- Inclusive and representative process in the plan development
- Evaluation of processes and policies
- Comprehensive identification/prioritization of projects and strategies
- Ongoing monitoring and Reporting
BENEFITS OF A COMPREHENSIVE SAFETY ACTION PLAN

- Comprehensive understanding of crash locations and contributing factors, including identification of systemic or recurring factors
- Considers safety for all users (roadway, bike/ped, transit)
- Relationship building among stakeholders
- Multi-faceted strategies to reduce/eliminate roadway fatalities and serious injuries
- Creates a pipeline of identified projects to leverage implementation funding beyond SMART SCALE:
  - SS4A Discretionary Grant Program for implementation
  - Transportation Alternatives Program
  - Revenue Sharing
  - Highway Safety Improvement Program
MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL APPLICATION

- No locality within the Thomas Jefferson Planning District has a qualifying Comprehensive Safety Action Plan
- TJPDC is preparing and will submit a multi-jurisdictional application
- Localities will benefit from:
  - Administration of the grant and project coordination by the TJPDC
  - Regional approach to data collection and analysis supported by VDOT through the Highway Safety Improvement Program
  - Cross-jurisdictional information sharing
  - Substantive engagement with state and regional agency partners
  - Ongoing monitoring and reporting functions supported by TJPDC
  - Individual Safety Action Plan for each locality based on local needs and priorities
Total project funding just under $1.1 million
- Nearly half is scoped to go towards stakeholder and public engagement
- VDOT will provide data collection and crash analysis support through the Highway Safety Improvement Program regardless of grant award
- TJPDC will provide ongoing monitoring and reporting through existing MPO and Rural Transportation program funding
A quorum was not present; staff provided updates (see attachment).

May 17, 2023 Notes

- Committee members present welcomed new members Mr. Chapman Munn (MPO representative) and Ms. Sarah Medley (City of Charlottesville representative).
- Approval of the January 18, 2023 and the March 15, 2023 meeting minutes will be rescheduled to the July 2023 Cmte. meeting.
- Mr. Ryan Mickles stated staff prepared a draft FY24-27 Transportation Improvement Program for public hearing and MPO Policy Board approval (attachment pgs. 5 - 16).
- Ms. Shackelford provided an update on the Long Range Transportation Plan “Moving Toward 2050” (attachment pgs. 17 – 23).
- Ms. Shackelford provided an update on Round 6 Smart Scale. (attachment. pgs. 24 – 29)

The next Cmte. meeting is scheduled for July 19, 2023, 7:00 p.m. at 407 East Water Street.
Funding for CA-MPO comes from:
- Federal sources (80%) – FHWA and FTA
- State sources (10%) – VDOT and DRPT
- Local sources (10%) – Local match contribution

Federally required to identify activities to be undertaken by CA-MPO using this funding in an annual work program

FY 2023 Budget for CA-MPO activities is $500,860
- Includes $130,950 in rollover funding from FY21 and FY22
- VDOT has an additional budget for their staff to support the MPO

Two types of rollover:
- Passive:
  - Only applies to FHWA funding source
  - Does not require any action
  - Becomes available second fiscal year following original allocation if unused
- Active: Has to be de-obligated and programmed into a following FY in order to be used
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Federal</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Local</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY-24 PL Funding</td>
<td>$206,116</td>
<td>$25,764</td>
<td>$25,764</td>
<td>$257,644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY-22 PL Passive Rollover</td>
<td>$20,136</td>
<td>$2,517</td>
<td>$2,517</td>
<td>$25,170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY-23 PL Active Rollover</td>
<td>$84,000</td>
<td>$10,500</td>
<td>$10,500</td>
<td>$105,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY-24 PL Total</td>
<td>$310,252</td>
<td>$38,781</td>
<td>$38,781</td>
<td>$387,814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY-24 FTA Funding</td>
<td>$103,232</td>
<td>$12,904</td>
<td>$12,904</td>
<td>$129,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY-24 FTA Total</td>
<td>$103,232</td>
<td>$12,904</td>
<td>$12,904</td>
<td>$129,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL+FTA Total</td>
<td>$413,484</td>
<td>$51,685</td>
<td>$51,685</td>
<td>$516,854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDOT SPR*</td>
<td>$136,000</td>
<td>$34,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$170,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total FY24 Work Program</td>
<td>$549,484</td>
<td>$85,685</td>
<td>$51,685</td>
<td>$686,854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 1: Administration</td>
<td>PL</td>
<td>FTA</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reporting and Compliance with Regulations</td>
<td>$14,000</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
<td>$22,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staffing Committees</td>
<td>$14,000</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
<td>$22,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Sharing</td>
<td>$24,500</td>
<td>$5,500</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$52,500</strong></td>
<td><strong>$21,500</strong></td>
<td><strong>$74,000</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task 2: Long Range Transportation Planning</th>
<th>PL</th>
<th>FTA</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2050 LRTP</td>
<td>$142,643</td>
<td>$36,000</td>
<td>$178,643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehensive Safety Action Plan</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPO Boundary Analysis</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit Governance</td>
<td></td>
<td>$27,640</td>
<td>$27,640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$267,314</strong></td>
<td><strong>$70,640</strong></td>
<td><strong>$337,954</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task 3: Short Range Transportation Planning</th>
<th>PL</th>
<th>FTA</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TIP Maintenance</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$7,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMART SCALE &amp; Grant Support</td>
<td>$35,500</td>
<td>$10,400</td>
<td>$45,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTP, TDM, and Bike/Ped Support</td>
<td>$8,500</td>
<td>$8,500</td>
<td>$17,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance Targets</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Transit &amp; Rail Planning</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTAC/Public Outreach/Title VI</td>
<td>$17,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$27,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$387,814</strong></td>
<td><strong>$129,040</strong></td>
<td><strong>$516,854</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## FY24 – Draft Unified Planning Work Program With Adjustment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task 1: Administration</th>
<th>PL</th>
<th>FTA</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reporting and Compliance with Regulations</td>
<td>$14,000</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
<td>$22,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staffing Committees</td>
<td>$14,000</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
<td>$22,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Sharing</td>
<td>$24,500</td>
<td>$5,500</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Task 1: Administration Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$52,500</strong></td>
<td><strong>$21,500</strong></td>
<td><strong>$74,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task 2: Long Range Transportation Planning</th>
<th>PL</th>
<th>FTA</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2050 LRTP</td>
<td>$142,643</td>
<td>$36,000</td>
<td>$178,643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehensive Safety Action Plan</td>
<td>$43,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$43,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPO Boundary Analysis</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit Governance</td>
<td></td>
<td>$27,640</td>
<td>$27,640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commuter Assistance Program Strategic Plan</td>
<td>$11,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$11,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Demand Model Update</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-call Services/Contingency</td>
<td>$42,671</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$45,671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Task 2: Long Range Transportation Planning Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$267,314</strong></td>
<td><strong>$70,640</strong></td>
<td><strong>$337,954</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task 3: Short Range Transportation Planning</th>
<th>PL</th>
<th>FTA</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TIP Maintenance</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$7,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMART SCALE &amp; Grant Support</td>
<td>$35,500</td>
<td>$10,400</td>
<td>$45,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTP, TDM, and Bike/Ped Support</td>
<td>$8,500</td>
<td>$8,500</td>
<td>$17,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance Targets</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Transit &amp; Rail Planning</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTAC/Public Outreach/Title VI</td>
<td>$17,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$27,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Task 3: Short Range Transportation Planning Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$68,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$36,900</strong></td>
<td><strong>$104,900</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **TOTAL**                                  | **$387,814** | **$129,040** | **$516,854** |
Transportation Improvement Program

- Financial plan for the implementation of funded projects
- Used to schedule spending of federal transportation funds within the MPO region
- Four-year spending program that is updated every three years
- Anticipated expenditures are provided by transit agencies and VDOT
1. Priority projects are identified as a need through a plan or study
2. Funding applications are submitted for priority projects
3. Project funding is approved by the Commonwealth Transportation Board when they approve the Six-Year Improvement Program (SYIP)
4. Projects that include federal funding allocations are added to the MPO’s TIP
Highway Project Groupings

- Projects of regional significance are pulled out and shown individually in the TIP boxes.
- Projects with similar funding categories are shown as grouped projects.
  - Grouping projects allows more flexibility for moving funding within the group as needed to efficiently maintain and improve the surface transportation system.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ROUTE/STREET</th>
<th>MATCH</th>
<th>FY24</th>
<th>FY25</th>
<th>FY26</th>
<th>FY27</th>
<th>TOTAL COST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td></td>
<td>$44,502</td>
<td>$178,006</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$38,078,180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RW</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>($249,678)</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$4,280,739</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td>$6,160,904</td>
<td>$6,160,904</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>$6,160,904</td>
<td>$10,441,643</td>
<td>$2,656,780</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN AC</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$13,745,206</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MPO Notes**

**GROUPING**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROGRAM NOTE</th>
<th>ROUTE/STREET</th>
<th>MATCH</th>
<th>FY24</th>
<th>FY25</th>
<th>FY26</th>
<th>FY27</th>
<th>TOTAL COST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$49,752,817</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Maintenance: Preventive Maintenance and System Preservation**

Funding identified to be obligated districtwide as projects are identified.

**FUNDING SOURCE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MATCH</th>
<th>FY24</th>
<th>FY25</th>
<th>FY26</th>
<th>FY27</th>
<th>TOTAL COST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CN</td>
<td>Federal – AC CONVERSION</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$851,879</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN</td>
<td>Federal – NH/STP/STB</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$5,150,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN</td>
<td>Federal – NH/STP/STB</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$10,482,284</td>
<td>$10,525,317</td>
<td>$10,569,082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN TOTAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$15,632,284</td>
<td>$11,377,196</td>
<td>$10,569,082</td>
<td>$10,613,591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN AC</td>
<td>Federal – AC OTHER</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,560,664</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MPO Notes**

**Regionally Significant Project**

FROM: GARRETT ST/LEVI AVE (0.173 mi south of Water St.) TO: EAST MARKET ST (0.095 north of Water St) (0.2680MI)
Transit Obligations

- Categorized by:
  - Project funding source (5307, 5310, 5311, 5337, 5339)
  - Type of planned expenditure (Operating Assistance, Rolling Stock, etc.)
- Includes Mobility Management programming
- Projected budgets that may need to be revised or amended based on new grant requests/funding allocation adjustments
5307 is the federal funding source for urbanized areas

5311 is the federal funding source for rural areas

5339 is the federal funding source for bus and bus facilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIP ID:</th>
<th>CAT0001</th>
<th>Title: Operating Assistance</th>
<th>Recipient:</th>
<th>JAJNT, Inc.</th>
<th>Funds:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5307</td>
<td>934</td>
<td>966</td>
<td>971</td>
<td>FTA 5307</td>
<td>$3,886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5311</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2,641</td>
<td>2,667</td>
<td>FTA 5311</td>
<td>$10,724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5307 ARPA</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility Mgr (Fed.)</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>2,552</td>
<td>1,152</td>
<td>1,174</td>
<td>FTA 5307</td>
<td>$4,118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>4,632</td>
<td>6,278</td>
<td>6,527</td>
<td>FTA 5311</td>
<td>$26,651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenues</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>FTA 5307</td>
<td>$1,323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Total</td>
<td>11,854</td>
<td>11,427</td>
<td>11,627</td>
<td>FTA 5311</td>
<td>$47,281</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIP ID:</th>
<th>CAT0002</th>
<th>Title: Expansion - Rolling Stock</th>
<th>Recipient:</th>
<th>Charlottesville Area Transit</th>
<th>Funds:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5339</td>
<td>519,559</td>
<td>620,999</td>
<td>983,721</td>
<td>Flexible STP</td>
<td>$2,181,520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>1,261,786</td>
<td>1,508,139</td>
<td>2,389,038</td>
<td>FTA 5339</td>
<td>$5,297,797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>74,223</td>
<td>88,714</td>
<td>140,532</td>
<td>FTA 5339</td>
<td>$311,646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Total</td>
<td>1,855,568</td>
<td>2,217,852</td>
<td>3,513,291</td>
<td>FTA 5339</td>
<td>$7,791,143</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIP ID:</th>
<th>CAT0003</th>
<th>Title: Replacement - Rolling Stock</th>
<th>Recipient:</th>
<th>Charlottesville Area Transit</th>
<th>Funds:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5339</td>
<td>897,832</td>
<td>1,058,553</td>
<td>517,003</td>
<td>Flexible STP</td>
<td>$2,152,356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>2,180,449</td>
<td>2,570,773</td>
<td>1,255,580</td>
<td>FTA 5339</td>
<td>$5,227,153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>128,262</td>
<td>151,222</td>
<td>73,858</td>
<td>FTA 5339</td>
<td>$307,480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Total</td>
<td>3,206,543</td>
<td>3,780,548</td>
<td>1,846,461</td>
<td>FTA 5339</td>
<td>$7,686,989</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIP ID:</th>
<th>CAT0007</th>
<th>Title: Passenger Shelters</th>
<th>Recipient:</th>
<th>Charlottesville Area Transit</th>
<th>Funds:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5339</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64,400</td>
<td>25,760</td>
<td>Flexible STP</td>
<td>$141,680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>156,400</td>
<td>62,560</td>
<td>FTA 5339</td>
<td>$344,080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9,200</td>
<td>3,680</td>
<td>FTA 5339</td>
<td>$20,240</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TIP Approval

- Required public engagement:
  - 30-day comment period
  - Public hearing
- Draft TIP on CA-MPO website in April
- Public Hearing scheduled for May 24th, 2023 at the MPO Policy Board Meeting
- Policy Board will be asked to take action on approval of the TIP at the May meeting
- Adjustments or amendments will be processed as needed once final FY24-27 TIP is adopted
Proposed DRAFT
Fiscal Year 2024-2027 TIP

Projects include:
Interstate: 1
Primary: 2
Secondary: 2
Urban: 3
Groupings: 5
Transit: CAT, Jaunt
Proposed DRAFT
Fiscal Year 2024-2027 TIP

Projects include:
Interstate: 1
Primary: 2
Secondary: 2
Urban: 3
Groupings: 5
Transit: CAT, Jaunt

Adopted 2023 MPO & State Performance Targets
Proposed DRAFT
Fiscal Year 2024-2027 TIP

Projects include:
Interstate: 1
Primary: 2
Secondary: 2
Urban: 3
Groupings: 5
Transit: CAT, Jaunt

Adopted 2023 MPO & State Performance Targets
Based on 2045 LRTP Goals & Objectives
Proposed DRAFT
Fiscal Year 2024-2027 TIP

Projects include:
Interstate: 1
Primary: 2
Secondary: 2
Urban: 3
Groupings: 5
Transit: CAT, Jaunt

Adopted 2023 MPO & State Performance Targets
Based on 2045 LRTP Goals & Objectives
Jaunt Program – Capital Plan Revisions Pending
Proposed DRAFT
Fiscal Year 2024-2027 TIP

Projects include:
- Interstate: 1
- Primary: 2
- Secondary: 2
- Urban: 3
- Groupings: 5
- Transit: CAT, Jaunt

Adopted 2023 MPO & State Performance Targets
Based on 2045 LRTP Goals & Objectives
Jaunt Program – Capital Plan Revisions Pending

MPO Policy Board Approval Scheduled for May 2023
No Public Comments Rec’d as of 5/15/23
Tech Cmte & CTAC Review, Recommendation
Lenses, Goals & Objectives

Charlottesville/Albemarle MPO

MOVING TOWARD 2050
LONG RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN
• Added “Quality of Life” as a lens
• Grouped “Economic Development” goal with “Efficiency” instead of “Land Use”
  • Freight travel time reliability is one of the established national performance measures
• Changed “Land Use” goal language
  • Connect community destinations in a manner that aligns with local growth management priorities
• New “Efficiency & Economic Development” goal language
  • Efficiently and reliably move people and goods through the multi-modal transportation system
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lens</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Objective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Safety: Improve the safety of the transportation system for all users.</td>
<td>Reduce frequency of serious injury and fatal crashes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Multi-Modal Accessibility: Improve access through greater availability of mode choices that are affordable and efficient.</td>
<td>Improve comfort and safety for users of the multi-modal transportation system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of Life</td>
<td>Land Use Coordination: Connect community destinations in a manner that aligns with local growth management priorities.</td>
<td>Increase mode choice for all users.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Environment: Reduce the negative environmental impacts of the transportation system.</td>
<td>Provide multi-modal infrastructure in designated growth areas, mixed-use areas, and near community resources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate Action</td>
<td>Efficiency &amp; Economic Development: Efficiently and reliably move people and goods through the multi-modal transportation system.</td>
<td>Fill connectivity gaps in multi-modal network.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Minimize impacts of transportation system on natural and built environment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Integrate sustainable infrastructure practices into project design.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Improve roadway and transit system efficiency through operational improvements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Increase system capacity at identified bottlenecks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Maintain the existing system in a state of good repair.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Public Engagement
Public Engagement Purpose

- Generate **awareness** of Moving Toward 2050
- Provide **information** about the plan purpose and requirements
- Get **high level feedback** on goals from public
- Determine **where** public sees needs for transportation improvements

- Primary objective of first phase of outreach is to hear about public’s transportation priorities and identify system needs
• Project Kick-Off/Open House
  • June 21 at Water Street Center from 4 pm to 7 pm
  • Virtual Open House scheduled for June 20 at 6:30 pm

• Survey launch mid-June
  • MetroQuest Survey

• Outreach strategy:
  • Schedule meetings with CACs for July/August
  • Tabling at high-traffic locations throughout region/at community events
  • Reach out to HOAs to spread notice of public meetings & surveys
    • Staff may attend HOA meetings upon request and as available
How information from this phase will be used

• Inform the evaluation of system needs through the data-driven system evaluation
  • Public feedback will be used to recommend weighting assigned to evaluation metrics based on the developed project prioritization process

• Determine publicly identified system needs
  • Map comments from survey and other outreach activities will be analyzed to identify high-priority system need locations

• Both data sets will be considered in determining high priority system needs
Smart Scale Process – Round 6

• Virginia’s state process to select transportation projects for funding

• Applications submitted biannually (Round 6 will be submitted in August 2024)

• Based on previous rounds, CA-MPO can submit up to four projects

• Projects must meet eligibility requirements:
  • Meet a need identified in VTRANS
  • Corridors of Statewide Significance
  • Regional Network (as established in VTRANS)
Round 6 Application Development

• Staff reviewed unfunded projects from Rounds 4 and 5 of SMART SCALE
  • District Avenue Roundabout at Hydraulic Road
  • Fifth Street Extended Multi-Modal Improvements
  • US 250/Rolkin Road Pedestrian Improvements
  • Rivanna River Bike/Ped Bridge
  • Hillsdale South Extension
  • US 250/Milton Road Intersection Improvements
  • US 250/Louisa Road Intersection Improvements
  • US 29/Frays Mill/ Burnley Station Intersection Improvements

• VDOT Pipeline Projects
  • US 250 and Barracks Road - Current
  • Ivy Road, including US 250 interchange - Current
  • US 29/Boulders Road/Briarwood Drive Intersection – Previous
North 29/ Boulders Road/ Briarwood Intersection
VDOT Culpeper District
Albemarle County

US 29-US 250 Ivy Road Interchange

Corridor Length: 1.2/1.86 miles (29/250)
Vtrans Need: Yes – Priority 2 & 3
CROSS Corridor: Yes (both)
Urban/Rural: Urbanized
SYIP: No
SMART SCALE Funded: No
PSAP Corridor: No & Yes
Approx. # of 2017-2021 Crashes: 158
Approx. # of 2017-2021 FI* Crashes: 55
(One of the injury crashes involved a pedestrians)

*Fatal and Injury

Culpeper District

Albemarle County

Potential for Safety Improvements (PSI)
- PSI Intersection
- PSI Segment

VTRANS 2019 Priority Needs
- Priority 1
- Priority 2
- Priority 3
- Priority 4

Identified Need:
US 250: Congestion, Travel Demand Management, Transit Access, Ped. Access
US 29: Capacity Preservation, Transit Access
Initial Discussions
Potential projects in pipeline reviewed by MPO staff, VDOT, and locality staff.

Evaluate Project Requests
Consideration of additional projects for consideration.

Finalize Application Priorities
Complete list of potential project development activities for CA-MPO staff to support.

Project Development
CA-MPO staff will provide ongoing coordination and engagement with stakeholders/public.

Project Support & Coordination
Work with VDOT and locality staff to determine next steps to prepare projects for Round 6 applications.

Public Workshop
CA-MPO staff will coordinate with VDOT, Albemarle, and Charlottesville staff to hold public workshop for all projects within the CA-MPO region.

Pre-applications Due
CA-MPO staff will coordinate with Albemarle and Charlottesville staff to obtain local resolutions of support and any additional information needed for final applications.

Final Applications Due
CA-MPO staff will facilitate the submission of final applications.
Memorandum

To: MPO Committees
From: Sandy Shackelford, Director of Planning & Transportation
Date: July 10, 2023
Reference: Update on the Toward 2050 Stakeholder Outreach

Purpose:
In June 2023, the MPO kicked off its broad public engagement to receive community input on transportation system priorities and identify issues. The purpose of the public engagement in this phase is to develop the relative importance of different factors in determining system priorities, and to hear from the public on where there are identified issues and opportunities. This feedback will guide the analysis of systemwide data and better understand conditions that are contributing to existing system deficiencies.

Discussion:
The MPO kicked off the public engagement with a virtual informational meeting, a drop-in open house, and a MetroQuest survey. Information about these opportunities to learn more and start providing feedback were directly emailed to more than 200 points of contact that included committee members, stakeholder discussion group participants, and community representatives, including all neighborhood association points of contact in the City of Charlottesville.

Information was (and continues to be) further distributed through the TJPDC monthly newsletter, through social media, through the Albemarle County newsletter, in communication to Albemarle County’s Community Advisory Committees, and has been disseminated by two news sources.

Participation to date has been modest:
- Virtual Meeting was attended by four people;
- Open House was attended by six people;
- As of 7/10/2023, 97 people have taken the survey, and have left over 400 mapping comments.

MPO Staff is working to identify opportunities to get feedback about transportation priorities through public engagement with the community. Those efforts include:
- Coordinating additional engagement and outreach with The Center at Belvedere
- Tabling at the Downtown Transit Center (Scheduled for end of July)
- CACVB Board Meeting (Late August)
- Outreach at UVA to include disseminating information through Student Government Association newsletters and planning on-campus outreach (in-person outreach late August)
- Coordinating with Albemarle County on presentations to the Community Advisory Committees (later this fall)
- Considering opportunities to conduct outreach at parks
- Continue to work directly with community organizations and stakeholders

We want to provide as many opportunities for engagement at this point in the process most importantly to help us hear from the community about their identified issues and opportunities. However, there will be additional opportunities for the public to provide feedback later in the process when we start discussing discrete projects.

Actions: This information is being shared for discussion purposes. No action is requested at this time.
Memorandum

To: MPO Committees
From: Sandy Shackelford, Director of Planning & Transportation
Date: July 10, 2023
Reference: Commonwealth Transportation Board – SMART SCALE Program Review

Purpose:
The Commonwealth Transportation Board (CTB) is undertaking a comprehensive review of the SMART SCALE program, including reviewing the application development process and analyzing the outcomes. While this evaluation process occurs after every round of SMART SCALE, substantive adjustments are being considered as part of the current review. These discussions began at the May 2023 CTB meeting, and are scheduled to continue through the fall.

CA-MPO staff is following the discussions and will provide a summary of key discussion items that have been covered in the CTB meetings to-date. However, the information shared at the CTB meetings goes into much more detail. No decisions regarding changes to the SMART SCALE application process have been made at this time.

Discussion:
At the May meeting, the CTB reviewed the history of SMART SCALE, feedback they received about the process after Round 5, and a high-level analysis of application performance. Office of Intermodal Planning & Investment (OIPI) staff reviewed the items that were codified into State Code that cannot be adjusted, as well as the portions that the CTB has the authority to adjust and revise. OIPI staff reviewed the main issues that were identified through their analysis of the program.

During the May meeting, the main topic that was discussed was identified issues related to the application process, and a review of potential program adjustments being considered to address those challenges.
- Recording of the Presentation (1:58:45)
- Meeting Slides

During the June meeting, the CTB discussed process biases, funding steps, and began the discussion on what is referred to in the CTB meeting as “One Factor Majority” issues, which is in reference to the influence the land use scoring factor has had on project funding recommendations.
- Recording of the Presentation (0:00:00)
- Meeting Slides

Actions: This information is being shared for discussion purposes. No action is requested at this time.