

AGENDA MPO Technical Committee

Tuesday, December 5, 2023 @ 10:00 a.m. Water Street Center, 407 E. Water Street Charlottesville, VA 22902

For Remote Participation in Compliance with Adopted Remote Meeting Policy, Guest Speakers, and Members of Public Zoom Meeting Link: https://us02web.zoom.us/j/85644842112?pwd=dzRXNzR4WmE1YWg2Q0FnaVJPcitWdz09

Meeting ID: 856 4484 2112

Password: 449593

Item	Time	Description
	10:00	Attendance
0	-	
	10:05	
	10:05	Matters from the Public
1	-	Members of the public are welcome to provide comment on any public-interest, transportation-
	10:10	related topic, including items listed on this agenda – limit three minutes per speaker
	10:10	General Administration* – Sandy Shackelford, CA-MPO
2	-	Acceptance of agenda*
_	10:15	 Approval of September 19, 2023 MPO Tech Committee Minutes*
		Approval of October 17, 2023 MPO Tech Committee Minutes*
	10:15	Moving Toward 2050 – Sandy Shackelford, CA-MPO
3	-	Travel Demand Model Outputs
	10:50	Needs Prioritization Process Updates
	10:50	SMART SCALE ROUND 6:
4	10.50	SMART SCALE Program Updates from CTB – Sandy Shackelford, CA-MPO
	11:25	 Round 6 MPO Eligible Projects – Sandy Shackelford, CA-MPO
		VDOT Pipeline Project Updates – Michael Barnes/Chuck Proctor, VDOT
		Staff Updates:
_	11:25	Safe Streets and Roads for All – Curtis Scarpignato, CA-MPO
5	_	Transit Programs – Lucinda Shannon, CA-MPO
	11:40	Upcoming TIP Modifications – Sandy Shackelford, CA-MPO
		Annual Obligation Reports – Sandy Shackelford, CA-MPO
	44.40	Roundtable Updates ■ City of Charlottesville ■ RideShare
	11:40	,
6	- 11:55	Albemarle County CAT CA MADO (TIRDS)
	11:55	CA-MPO/TJPDC Jaunt Virginia Department of Transportation University of Virginia
		 Virginia Department of Transportation Department of Rail and Public Transportation
	11:55	Department of Rail and Public Transportation Matters from the Public
7	11:55	Members of the public are welcome to provide comment on any public-interest, transportation-
'	12:00	related topic, including items listed on this agenda – limit three minutes per speaker
	12.00	related topic, including items issed on this agenda. Infinit three infinites per speaker

^(*) A recommendation to the MPO Policy Board and/or vote is expected for this item



Regional Vision • Collaborative Leadership • Professional Service

MPO Technical Committee Meeting

Draft Minutes, September 19, 2023

VOTING MEMBERS & ALTERNATES		Staff	
James Freas, Charlottesville		Sandy Shackelford, TJPDC	х
Ben Chambers, Charlottesville		Lucinda Shannon, TJPDC	х
Rory Stolzenberg, Cville PC	Х	Gretchen Thomas, TJPDC	х
Jessica Hersh-Ballering, Alb Co	Х	Ryan Mickles, TJPDC	х
Alberic Karina-Plun, Albemarle (alternate)	х	Curtis Scarpignato, TJPDC	x
Luis Carrazana, Albemarle PC	Х		
Charles Proctor, VDOT		Non-Voting Members	
Michael Barnes, VDOT	х	Donna Chen, CTAC Liaison	
(alternate)	^	Donna chen, crae Liaison	
Christine Jacobs, TJPDC	Х		
Zadie Lacy, Jaunt	Х		
Bill Palmer, UVA Ofc of Architect	Х	GUESTS/PUBLIC	
Taylor Jenkins, DRPT (alternate)		Peter Krebs, PEC	х
Tiffany Dubinsky, DRPT		Will Cockrell, EPRPC	х
Sara Pennington, Rideshare *	Х	Alan Simpson, EPRPC *	x
Richard Duran, FHWA			

^{*} attended online via Zoom

1. CALL TO ORDER:

Rory Stolzenberg called the meeting to order at 10:02 a.m. Ryan Mickles called roll.

2. MATTERS FROM THE PUBLIC

a. Comments by the Public: None

b. Comments provided via email, online, web site, etc.: None

3. MATTERS FROM THE PUBLIC

Motion/Action: Ben Chambers made a motion to approve the minutes from the August 15 meeting. Alberic Karina-Plun seconded and the motion passed unanimously.

4. Moving Toward 2050

Will Cockrell, EPRPC, presented the committee with the public engagement strategies used thus far for the "Moving Toward 2050" (Long-Range Transportation Plan).

Alan Simpson, EPRPC, presented the committee with the initial findings from all the engagement efforts. He noted that there were a number of open-ended comments regarding safety, efficiency, connection, multi-modal, land use, economy, and others.

He noted that multi-modal concerns dominated the comments, followed by safety, connection, and efficiency. The public comments themes in Albemarle County 2044 survey were dominated by land use, particularly parking. There was a great deal of comments in the Cville Plans Together Survey about multi-modal (bike lanes, bus routes, etc.) In the Regional Transit Vision Survey, multi-modal also dominated the comments.

Regarding the MetroQuest Survey, Mr. Simpson noted that it had 1100 comments on the map portion of the survey. When ranking priorities, safety dominated the answers. He continued by reviewing the rest of the MetroQuest survey results.

There was a discussion about the interactions with the public and the survey results.

5. Transportation Improvement Program Adjustment:

Ryan Mickles explained that the TIP adjustments moved money from FY23 to FY24.

6. SMART SCALE

Michael Barnes said there is a meeting on Thursday on the Ivy corridor and Barracks Road corridor projects. He gave an update on some of the feedback on the projects. He noted that getting a shared-use path for the Barracks Road corridor will be difficult. He noted that they had very good responses for their surveys. Their consultant is working on interpreting the data points.

Mr. Barnes continued by saying that Ivy Road corridor is a challenge. Multi-modal is proving to be difficult to integrate. He said that they have a corridor focus group (business owners, HOAs who are directly in the project zone) to help them get to a resolution on what to study in the second phase.

7. ROUNDTABLE:

CAMPO

Sandy Shackelford said there is no additional information to report. There is another CTB meeting tomorrow to discuss the previous success of Smart Scale, and updates to the economic development methodology. She noted that the meeting will be streaming live tomorrow at 8:30 a.m. There is a portal desk set up for any public comments.

Ms. Shackelford said that this afternoon there will be a meeting of the VDOT and Kimley Horn consultants to discuss the data received so far.

City of Charlottesville

Rory Stolzenberg noted that the City is in the home-stretch of their comp plan.

Ben Chambers noted they are learning a lot about paint for the Safe Streets and Roads for All and Safe Routes to School projects. There is also a parking inventory going on and the bike count is also ongoing. Re: Transit, Mr. Chambers reported that the City is working through their Transit Strategic Plan, and also the Alternative Fuel Study for CAT. He noted they are working with DRPT for bus shelters in the City.

Albemarle County

Jessica Hersh-Ballering reported that they are in the process of submitting for numerous grants.

Alberic Karina-Plun reported that the Prioritization project is in the home stretch and he will be making the maps tomorrow with the data they have received. He noted that October 4 will be the day they present it to the Board for approval. He also noted they are looking at a truck-ban on the corridor between Route 29 and Batesville on Plank Road.

DRPT

There was no report.

VDOT

Michael Barnes said that Smart Scale will start in earnest next year. He noted that the project selection component will be very important. He said the Smart Scale projects will be in pipeline studies, Potential Safety Improvement projects, and "other." Their respective consultants will be reaching out in late October to talk about those types of projects.

<u>Rideshare</u>

Sara Pennington reported that Rideshare is celebrating the second anniversary of the Afton Express. There are numerous celebrations scheduled.

CAT

No additional report.

<u>Jaunt</u>

Zady Lacie provided the committee with updates.

UVA

Bill Palmer reported that there is a new Director of Parking and Transportation. He also said the Ivy Corridor has three projects under construction that are starting to coalesce. He reported that the Fontaine Park project is currently in the planning and design phase.

VDOT

David Cook said are new initiatives underway, a state trails program. They will be sending out a survey starting tomorrow. The survey can be found here:

He also said there is a new contract with Michael Baker International for data collection, existing conditions analysis and existing conditions analysis.

He is also working on bike/ped initiatives.

8. Additional Matters From The Public:

None.

ADJOURNMENT: Mr. Stolzenberg adjourned the meeting at 11:40 a.m.

NOTE: Joint meeting with SAW-MPO is scheduled for September 27, 2023 in Waynesboro at 10 a.m. Monthly meetings will occur in FY24 until approval of the long-range transportation plan is completed



Regional Vision - Collaborative Leadership - Professional Service

MPO Technical Committee Meeting

Draft Minutes, October 17, 2023

VOTING MEMBERS & ALTERNATES		Staff	
James Freas, Charlottesville		Sandy Shackelford, TJPDC	х
Ben Chambers, Charlottesville	Х	Lucinda Shannon, TJPDC	
Rory Stolzenberg, Cville PC		Gretchen Thomas, TJPDC	х
Jessica Hersh-Ballering, Alb Co	Х	Ryan Mickles, TJPDC	х
Alberic Karina-Plun, Albemarle (alternate)	х	Curtis Scarpignato, TJPDC	x
Luis Carrazana, Albemarle PC			
Charles Proctor, VDOT *	Х	Non-Voting Members	
Michael Barnes, VDOT		Tony Cha ETA	
(alternate)		Tony Cho FTA	
Christine Jacobs, TJPDC	Х	Donna Chen, CTAC Liaison	
Zadie Lacy, Jaunt			
Bill Palmer, UVA Ofc of Architect	Х	GUESTS/PUBLIC	
Taylor Jenkins, DRPT (alternate)		Peter Krebs, PEC	Х
Tiffany Dubinsky, DRPT *	х	Will Cockrell, EPRPC	х
Sara Pennington, Rideshare	Х	Alan Simpson, EPRPC *	Х
Richard Duran, FHWA		Tray Biasolli, Charlottesville *	Х
		Sean Tubbs *	X

^{*} attended online via Zoom

1. CALL TO ORDER:

Alberic Karina-Plun called the meeting to order at 10:08 a.m. Ryan Mickels called roll. Sandy Shackelford noted that there was no quorum present in person.

2. MATTERS FROM THE PUBLIC

- a. Comments by the Public: None
- b. Comments provided via email, online, web site, etc.: None

3. GENERAL ADMINISTRATION:

Since there was no quorum present in person, the agenda was not able to be approved, and the minutes will be approved at the next meeting.

4. Moving Toward 2050



Albemarle County

Fluvanna County

Greene County

Nelson County

Alan Simpson, EPRPC, presented the committee with a review and update of the Moving Toward 2050 public engagement process to date, and some of the engagement takeaways on public transit, bike/ped infrastructure, land use and environment, and roadway safety & efficiency improvements.

Sandy Shackelford continued the presentation by discussing transportation needs prioritization goals. She continued by offering evaluation metrics and asked the committee for feedback on those metrics.

5. SMART SCALE ROUND 6

Ms. Shackelford presented the committee with the Commonwealth Transportation Board (CTB) Smart Scale process review updates including summary of discussions from the September CTB meeting. She noted that economic development will be impacting transportation decisions.

She reviewed projects that would increase in score and the top reasons for those increases.

Ms. Shackelford reviewed the survey responses on the proposed changes to Smart Scale.

6. Transportation Analyses Zones (TAZs)

Chuck Proctor said VDOT will be using the existing TAZ model and will use the updated model in the future.

Ryan Mickles presented the committee with information on the current TAZs. He noted there are 249 TAZs within the MPO. There is an effort to develop a new methodology for creating/redrawing the TAZs in the region. Staff is determining how to best get the info off the census to redraw those new boundaries for the MPO Tech and Policy Board's consideration for approval.

7. ROUNDTABLE:

Rideshare

Working through the FY and planning for Rideshare month in October.

Albemarle

Alberic – There is a joint meeting of all of Albemarle's Community Advisory Committees being held later tonight focusing on transportation programs. The new microtransit service has launched, and so far is functioning even better than anticipated.

Charlottesville

Charlottesville is now part of NACO. CAT is doing alternative fuel study as well as continuing work on the transit strategic plan. Public works is currently working on re-striping West Main. Tommy Safranek is developing a bike parking inventory. The City is also in the process of developing an ADA transition plan and has evaluated all of sidewalks. The next step will be to complete the evaluation of buildings.

<u>UVA</u>

Bill Palmer did have any updates to share.

CAMPO

Curtis Scarpignato provided some updates on the Safe Streets and Roads for All project. TJPDC is working with the consultant team to plan a Regional Safety Summit, which will be held in City Space.

DRPT

Tiffany Dubinksy reported that DRPT will be sending out updated representation letters this week. They are hosting their coordinated service plans meetings this week. DRPT is transitioning to a new grants administration platform on December 1. Training is scheduled for October 30 and Oct 31.

VDOT

VDOT staff is making rounds with localities to plan for the next round of SMART SCALE. They have met with Albemarle and Charlottesville and will follow up next month with each locality to see if they have decided what projects they want. The Commonwealth Transportation Board meets tomorrow, and a Virtual Town Hall is scheduled at the end of the month to receive feedback on the proposed changes to SMART SCALE. The CTB will be taking action in December on the proposed changes.

8. Additional Matters From The Public:

Peter Krebs, PEC, said PEC is concerned following the existing changes to the SMART SCALE program. He said he has been told not to make assumptions on where the CTB is going. He is disappointed that this MPO is accepting and adapting to the changes already. He said he has sent comments to the CTB about how the changes are not in line with the goals of the localities. Pay attention to when decisions will be held and also focus on goals for the localities.

ADJOURNMENT: Mr. Karina-Plun adjourned the meeting at 11:56 p.m.

The next meeting will be held at the Water Street Center, 407 E Water Street, Charlottesville on November 21 at 10:00 a.m.

Monthly meetings will occur in FY24 until approval of the long-range transportation plan is completed



Charlottesville-Albemarle Metropolitan Planning Organization

POB 1505, 401 E. Water St, Charlottesville, VA 22902 www.tjpdc.org (434) 979-7310 phone • info@tjpdc.org email

Memorandum

To: MPO Committees

From: Sandy Shackelford, Director of Planning & Transportation

Date: November 29, 2023

Reference: Moving Toward 2050 Travel Demand Model Outputs

Purpose:

The travel demand model for the region is maintained by VDOT in collaboration with the MPO. Regions with an existing Travel Demand Model are required to reference their models in the development of their long range transportation plans.

The Travel Demand Model provides information on existing and projected network congestion based on estimated growth rates throughout the region. The growth projections for use in the Travel Demand Model were approved by the Policy Board at their meeting in October.

Discussion:

Using the baseline and future growth socio-economic data that was approved at the previously Policy Board meeting, VDOT modeling staff ran the baseline and future no-build scenarios to demonstrate estimated volume over capacity ratios throughout the network based on anticipated growth. The future no-build scenario incorporates committed transportation improvements that have been awarded funding but have not yet been built.

To ensure an accurate comparison of system conditions between the baseline conditions and the future conditions, staff used the ranges of volume over capacity ratios that correlated to established Level of Service summarized in the table below to develop the system maps:

Level of Service	V/C
Α	0.35
В	0.55
С	0.77
D	0.92
E	1.0
F	>1.0

Once the MPO begins to narrow down potential projects to include in the fiscally-constrained priority list, the model will demonstrate how the potential projects may impact overall future system conditions.

The maps can be viewed here: <u>Travel Demand Model Outputs</u>

Actions: This information is being shared for discussion purposes. No action is requested at this time.



Charlottesville-Albemarle Metropolitan Planning Organization

POB 1505, 401 E. Water St, Charlottesville, VA 22902 www.tjpdc.org (434) 979-7310 phone • info@tjpdc.org email

Memorandum

To: MPO Committees

From: Sandy Shackelford, Director of Planning & Transportation

Date: November 29, 2023

Reference: Moving Toward 2050 Data Analysis Updates

Purpose:

The MPO worked with a consultant team of Michael Baker and Renaissance to develop a process to prioritize transportation improvements within the MPO area. MPO staff and the EPR consultant team have been working through the prioritization of system needs.

Discussion:

The attached table shows the current status of the data processing as of the date of this memo. As data processing is completed, staff will continue to make the outputs available in a shared folder for review with the caveat that the individual data layers will paint an incomplete picture of the overall system needs. The needs prioritization will be based on the cumulative weighting from all of the evaluation criteria to demonstrate where the highest priority system needs are. However, review of the individual evaluation metric outputs is a good opportunity to ensure the data outputs are reasonable and make sense.

It is also important to reiterate that this data analysis is only one aspect of identifying system network needs. This data will be considered in conjunction with the public input that was provided as part of the public outreach that was conducted earlier this year.

Staff is continuing to work to complete the data processing and begin reviewing the system needs and public input against the candidate project list to begin identifying the project priorities. This analysis will be used to develop an initial list of project priorities. Ultimately, this list will be determined by the Policy Board based on additional public feedback and recommendations from the MPO committees.

Maps of the data analysis completed to date can be found here: <u>Data Prioritization Maps</u>

Actions: This information is being shared for discussion purposes. No action is requested at this time.



Charlottesville-Albemarle Metropolitan Planning Organization

POB 1505, 401 E. Water St, Charlottesville, VA 22902 www.tjpdc.org (434) 979-7310 phone • info@tjpdc.org email

Table 1. Summary of Needs Prioritization Processing Completed

Prioritization Category	Evaluation Metric	Threshold	Factor Weighting	Data Processing
Safety	Roadway Safety (PSI ¹)	All PSI locations	15%	In Progress
•	Bike/Ped Safety (PSAP ² Corridors)	Top 5% Regional Corridors ³	15%	Complete
Multi-modal Accessibility	PAI ⁴ - Bike/Ped	All segments PAI greater than 0	8%	Complete
·	PAI - Transit	All segments PAI greater than 0	8%	Complete
	PAI - Vehicle	All segments PAI greater than 0	6%	Complete
	PAI – Disadvantaged Populations	All segments PAI greater than 0	8%	Complete
Efficiency & Economic Development	Travel Time Index	Avg weeklong TTI > 1.5 for three hours; > 1.7 for one hour	3%	Not yet started
	Travel Time Reliability (PTI ⁵)	Avg weeklong PTI > 1.5 for three hours; > 1.7 for one hour	3%	Not yet started
	Transit On-Time Performance	On-time performance less than systemwide average performance from previous year	4%	Not yet started
Land Use Coordination	Walk Access - General	All segments in "somewhat walkable" census tracts	10%	Not yet started
	Walk Access – Disadvantaged Populations	All segments in transit viable EEA ⁶ that are also in "somewhat walkable" census tracts	20%	Not yet started
Environment	Flooding Exposure	Segments Exposed to Historical Flooding Additional Adjustment for economically distressed communities		

¹ PSI – Potential for Safety Improvement

² PSAP – Pedestrian Safety Action Plan

³ While the MPO committees had supported the use of the top 5% of the statewide corridors for this evaluation metric, the information contained in that data layer was not compatible with the processing steps to utilize the information. The information glitch in the mapping layer that prevented us from viewing the correct information when the MPO committees were discussing the information had been resolved. While the top 5% regional corridor contains additional segments on smaller road networks than what is considered in the Top 5% of statewide corridors, the use of the top 1% regional corridors did not include all of the segments that were captured by the top 5% statewide corridors. Therefore, MPO staff used the top 5% regional corridors data layer to complete the first step of the data processing.

⁴ PAI – Potential for Accessibility Improvement

⁵ PTI – Planning Time Index

⁶ EEA – Equity Emphasis Areas; defined in <u>VTrans</u>

Final FFY23 Annual Listing

Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)
Annual Listing of Obligated Projects

Charlottesville-Albemarle Metropolitan Planning Organization



Table of Contents:

Preface

Glossary of Terms
Description
Definitions of Interest
Overview of FFY23
Guide Sheet MPO Obligation Report

FFY21 Annual Listing

Interstate

Primary

Urban

Secondary

Miscellaneous

Public Transportation

Rail

Enhancement

Groupings

Charlottesville Area Transit

JAUNT, Inc.

Appendix









Glossary of Terms

NHPP: National Highway Performance Program

Provides support for the condition and performance of the National Highway System (NHS), for the construction of new facilities on the NHS, and to ensure that investments of Federal-aid funds in highway construction are directed to support progress toward the achievement of performance targets established in a State's asset management plan for the NHS.

IM/NH: Interstate Maintenance/National Highway System

Provides funding for resurfacing, restoration, rehabilitation and reconstruction (4R) work, including added lanes to increase capacity, on most existing Interstate System routes.

STP: Surface Transportation Program

Provides flexible funding that may be used by States and localities for projects to preserve and improve the conditions and performance on any Federal-aid highway, bridge and tunnel projects on any public road, pedestrian and bicycle infrastructure, and transit capital projects, including intercity bus terminals.

EB/MG: Equity Bonus/Minimum Guarantee

Provides funding to States based on equity considerations. These include a minimum rate of return on contributions to the Highway Account of the Highway Trust Fund, and a minimum increase relative to the average dollar amount of apportionments under TEA-21. Selected States are guaranteed a share of apportionments and High Priority Projects not less than the State's average annual share under TEA-21. This program replaces TEA-21's Minimum Guarantee program.

CMAQ: Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality

Provides a flexible funding source to State and local governments for transportation projects and programs to help meet the requirements of the Clean Air Act. Funding is available to reduce congestion and improve air quality for areas that do not meet the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for ozone, carbon monoxide, or particulate matter (nonattainment areas) and for former nonattainment areas that are now in compliance (maintenance areas).

BROS: Bridge Off-System

Provides funding to enable States to improve the condition of their highway bridges through replacement, rehabilitation, and systematic preventive maintenance.

DEMO: Demonstration

Provides funding for the adoption of innovations and technologies, thereby improving highway safety and quality while reducing congestion caused by construction.

SAFE: Safety Funding or Highway Safety Improvement Program

Provides funding to achieve a significant reduction in traffic fatalities and serious injuries on all public roads, including non-State-owned public roads and roads on tribal lands.

ARRA: American Recovery and Reinvestment Act

Provides funding to a wide variety of transportation programs, including roads, bridges, rail, buses and airport improvements.

Description

The Annual Listing of Obligated Projects (Annual Listing) includes all projects and strategies listed in the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) for which federal funds were obligated during the immediately preceding program year. The Annual Listing is intended to improve the transparency of transportation spending decisions by providing an accounting for federal funds that have been authorized and committed by the state or designated recipients (e.g. CAT Transit System) for expenditure on projects programmed in the TIP.

The tables on the following pages describe the projects included in the TIP, identify the responsible agency, the amount of federal funds requested/obligated, and the amount of funds remaining to be obligated on the project. A "guide sheet" precedes the Annual Listing for all roadway projects in the Charlottesville-Albemarle MPO that received federal obligations. At the end of this report there is a table that outlines all FFY19 federal obligations for transit systems within the MPO. Should there be any questions regarding the report, please contact the MPO staff at (434) 979-7310 or info@tjpdc.org.

Definitions of Interest

- Program Year: the year in which project obligations are reported; for purposes of this report, the program year is the federal fiscal year from October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023. Both the roadway obligations and the transit obligations are organized by the federal program year.
- Obligation: An obligation is the federal government's legal commitment to pay the federal share of a project's cost. An obligated project is one that has been authorized by the federal agency and for which funds have been committed. Projects for which funds have been obligated are not necessarily initiated or completed during the program year, and the amount of the obligation will not necessarily equal the total cost of the project. For projects under the auspices of the Federal Transit Administration (FTA), obligation occurs when the FTA grant is awarded. For projects under the auspices of the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), obligation occurs when a project agreement is executed and the state/grantee requests that the funds be obligated.

Overview of FFY23

FHWA

- Interstate Projects: There were no identified interstate projects in FFY23
- Primary Projects: There were no identified Primary projects in FFY23
- Urban Projects: There were 4 projects with an obligation in FFY23
- Secondary Projects: There were no federal obligations for secondary projects in FFY23
- Miscellaneous: There were no identified miscellaneous items in FFY23
- Public Transportation: There were no identified public transit projects from FHWA in FFY23
- Rail: There were no identified rail projects in FFY23
- Enhancement: There were no identified enhancement projects in FFY23
- <u>Grouping</u>: There were several federal obligations for these various projects. Project groupings include projects that are not considered to be of an appropriate scale to be called-out individually in the TIP. They are grouped by project function, work type, and/or geographic area.

FTA

- Charlottesville Area Transit: Obligations for CAT projects are indicated at the back of this document. The biggest obligations were for the:
 - o Governor's Apportionment
 - o Engineering and Design of Admin. Facility
- JAUNT: The biggest obligations related to JAUNT service were:
 - Operating Management

Guide Sheet MPO Obligation Report

Charlottesville MPO Study Area: Federal Obligated Funds: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

STIP Transactions

Northern Virginia MPO Study Area

Code Signature															
March State March March State March Marc		1100 / 0		es	*********	50.00				DD/DDOO	05110		N.E.	000	
1653 PROPERTY WICH EAST SPRING STREET - 158 MLE WEST OF HENDOOR PARKINT S DIS MLE EAST OF FARMY AC COUNTY FARKWAY OR HARMP (3-166 MS) 1	istrict / Jurisdiction	UPC / Description	NHS/NHPP	NHFP	STP/STBG	EB/MG		HIP	RSTP	BR/BROS	DEMO	PROTECT	NEVI	CRP	TOTAL
1825	erndon						Urban								
### PASSON SATISFA, 56510430	erridon	105521 #HR2 FY17 WIDE	N FAST SPRING S	TREET - 0 168 MI	F WEST OF HE	RNDON PARKW	AY: 0.063 MILE FA	ST OF FAIRFAX	COUNTY PARK	VAY ON-RAMP (0	3660 MII				
102105 317 COLUM ROAD - WICKETO POUR LABES (PM P) - Clouds Orline, Tober Late of E (1.000 M) 10210 102				711LE1 0.100 IIII		and on a state.	A1, 0.000 MILL LA	or or rain an	oo o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o	in our mann to					
Code Signature		**		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$148,888	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	(1A)\$148,8
Section Column															(2A)\$153,4
10255 \$VOLUR ROLD - WIDEN TO FOUR LARES (PR M) - Clouds drive; Tobart Lare SE (1.519 M) Fedings 240714 100 100 10 10 10 10 10															
FedBigs 201714 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	eesburg													,	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	•	102895 SYCOLIN ROAD	- WIDEN TO FOUR	LANES (PH IV) -	Claudia Drive; Tol	lbert Lane SE (1.	.0180 MI)								
Second Colorabilities Seco															
Serial Notific 24 WOEDBING - MANALSSAG - 6.145 M South of Pennsylvania Avenue, 6.153 M North of Godenin Drive (1.0000 Mt)		0000 (1)TIP:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	(1A)
Sezi Noute 34 WOENNer - MANASSAS - 0.15 M South of Pennsylvania Avanue. 0.152 M North of Codein Drive (1.0000 M) Fedilip (3601227, 5601465 0.028 (17)PP 30 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		InCO (2)Obligated:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,382,818	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	(2A)\$1,382,8
Section MOUTE SET MOUTHERS MOUTHERS Section of Percentage Annexes SET Mills (1,500 MB) Feetings Mouthers Mouthers Section Sect														(3)Remaining:	
Feeting \$40,227, \$40,445 \$10	nassas														
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				3 - 0.145 MI South	of Pennsylvania /	Avenue; 0.163 M	North of Godwin	Drive (1.0000 MI)							
C) Degrated S S S S S S S															
URBAN SUBTOTAL (I)TEP 68 58 58 58 58 58 58 58		* * *													(1A)\$123,7
URBAN SUSTOTAL (1) [1] 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		(2)Obligated:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$120,497	\$0	\$0	\$0	0		(2A)\$120,4
URBAN BUSTOTAL ((179: 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 6														(3)Remaining:	\$3,2
Comparison Section S													0		
MPO SUBTOTAL 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 1				-		-	-	-			-		-	-	
MPO 8 UBTOTAL 17TF															
MPO SUBTOTAL		(R)Released:	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	‡ 0	\$ 0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0		
## 12/15/15/15/15/15/15/15/15/15/15/15/15/15/														(6)Remaining:	
## 12/15/15/15/15/15/15/15/15/15/15/15/15/15/															
(i) Obligated: \$128,431,876 \$429,000 \$431,100,831 \$3,000,140 \$18,872,316 \$420,640,001 \$03,722,727 \$11,875,247 \$7,456,470 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0				40	ACO 070 450	40 005 005	*** *** ***	*45.000.740	400 040 404	40	40	40	40	40	(74) A 040 000 5
OJECT OBLIGATIONS (1) Planned obligations by Fund Source (2) Actual obligations by Fund Source (3) Planned obligations (3) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations vs. FFY23 planned obligations (Funds Remaining Available for Subsequent Years) (8) Obligations released from the Project (1nCO) Project in closeout/final vouchered (FedID(s)) FMIS ID associated with UPC STEM SUBTOTAL (4) Total planned obligations by Fund Source by System (5) Total planned obligations vs. FFY23 planned obligations (Funds Remaining Available for Subsequent Years) (5) Total planned obligations vs. FFY23 planned obligations (Funds Remaining Available for Subsequent Years) (6) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations vs. FFY23 planned obligations vs. FFY23 planne		(7)TIP.	+00,770,003	••	+62,076,168	000,020,000	\$12,441,816	\$16,202,710	*20,040,404	••	+0	••	••	••	(/A)\$210,000,5
OJECT OBLIGATIONS (1) Planned obligations by Fund Source (2) Actual obligations by Fund Source (3A) Total planned obligations (2b) Total actual obligations (3) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations vs. FFY23 planned obligations (Funds Remaining Available for Subsequent Years) (R) Obligations released from the Project (Incl) Project in closeout/final vouchered (5) Fine standard obligations vs. FFY23 planned obligations vs. FFY23 planned obligations (Funds Remaining Available for Subsequent Years) (Incl) Project in closeout/final vouchered (5) Total planned obligations by Fund Source by System (AA) Total planned obligations by Fund Source by System (5) Total actual obligations of System (6) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations by MPO by Fund Source for all systems (8) Total actual obligations by MPO by Fund Source for all systems (8) Total actual obligations by MPO by Fund Source for all systems (8) Grand Total of ALL FUND SOURCES planned obligations for all systems (8) Grand Total of ALL FUND SOURCES planned obligations for all systems (9) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations vs. FFY23 planned obligations (Funds Remaining Available for Subsequent Years) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations vs. FFY23 planned obligations (Funds Remaining Available for Subsequent Years) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations vs. FFY23 planned obligations (Funds Remaining Available for Subsequent Years) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations vs. FFY23 planned obligations (Funds Remaining Available for Subsequent Years) "Indicates no funds remaining Available		(8)Obligated:	\$128,431,076	\$429,800	\$31,160,831	\$3,809,140	\$18,872,316	\$20,614,031	\$38,782,767	\$11,676,247	\$7,425,870	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$0	(8A)\$260,800,6
OJECT OBLIGATIONS (1) Planned obligations by Fund Source (2) Actual obligations by Fund Source (3A) Total planned obligations (2b) Total actual obligations (3) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations vs. FFY23 planned obligations (Funds Remaining Available for Subsequent Years) (R) Obligations released from the Project (Incl) Project in closeout/final vouchered (5) Fine standard obligations vs. FFY23 planned obligations vs. FFY23 planned obligations (Funds Remaining Available for Subsequent Years) (Incl) Project in closeout/final vouchered (5) Total planned obligations by Fund Source by System (AA) Total planned obligations by Fund Source by System (5) Total actual obligations of System (6) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations by MPO by Fund Source for all systems (8) Total actual obligations by MPO by Fund Source for all systems (8) Total actual obligations by MPO by Fund Source for all systems (8) Grand Total of ALL FUND SOURCES planned obligations for all systems (8) Grand Total of ALL FUND SOURCES planned obligations for all systems (9) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations vs. FFY23 planned obligations (Funds Remaining Available for Subsequent Years) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations vs. FFY23 planned obligations (Funds Remaining Available for Subsequent Years) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations vs. FFY23 planned obligations (Funds Remaining Available for Subsequent Years) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations vs. FFY23 planned obligations (Funds Remaining Available for Subsequent Years) "Indicates no funds remaining Available			(\$44.040.570)	***	(\$0.400.045)	40	(\$0.077.40.4)	**	(\$40.770.747)	40	OAC4 407)	40	40	40	
OIECT OBLIGATIONS [1] Planned obligations by Fund Source [2] Actual obligations by Fund Source [3] Total planned obligations [4] Total planned obligations [5] Difference between FFY23 actual obligations vs. FFY23 planned obligations (Funds Remaining Available for Subsequent Years) [8] Obligations released from the Project [10] Project in closeout/final vouchered [11] FMIS ID associated with UPC [12] Total planned obligations by Fund Source by System [13] Total planned obligations by Fund Source by System [14] Total planned obligations of System [15] Total actual obligations of System [16] Obligations released from the Project in Contact actual obligations (Funds Remaining Available for Subsequent Years) [26] Total planned obligations by Fund Source by System [27] Total planned obligations of System [28] Obligations of All Funds Source for all Systems [29] Obligations of All Funds Source for all Systems [29] Obligations by MPO by Fund Source for all Systems [29] Ofference between FFY23 actual obligations for all systems [29] Ofference between FFY23 actual obligations for all systems [20] Ofference between FFY23 actual obligations for BMPO for all systems [20] Obligations for International Supplementations of All Funds Sources for all Systems [27] Total planned obligations by MPO by Fund Source for all Systems [28] Ofference between FFY23 actual obligations for MPO for all systems [28] Ofference between FFY23 actual obligations for MPO for all systems [28] Ofference between FFY23 actual obligations for MPO for all systems [28] Ofference between FFY23 actual obligations for MPO for all systems [29] Ofference between FFY23 actual obligations for for International Supplementations actual obligations for for founds Remaining Available for Subsequent Years [29] Obligations for founds remained Supplementations actual obligations for founds remained S		(R)Released:	(+14,010,070)	**	(40,420,040)	**	(+0,077,104)	••	(+10,775,717)	***	(#61,167)	***		**	(\$50,544,68
(1) Planned obligations by Fund Source (2) Actual obligations by Fund Source (1A) Total planned obligations (2A) Total actual obligations (3) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations vs. FFY23 planned obligations (Funds Remaining Available for Subsequent Years) **Indicates no funds remain (B) Obligations released from the Project (FedID(s)) (FedID(s)) (FedID(s)) (For Total planned obligations by Fund Source by System (4) Total planned obligations by Fund Source by System (5) Total actual obligations by Fund Source by System (6) Obligations refers between FFY23 actual obligations vs. FFY23 planned obligations (Funds Remaining Available for Subsequent Years) (5) Total actual obligations by Fund Source by System (5) Total actual obligations for System (6) Obligations of System (7) Total planned obligations by Fund Source for all Systems (8) Total actual obligations by MPO by Fund Source for all Systems (7) Total planned obligations by MPO by Fund Source for all Systems (8) Grand Total of ALL FUND SOURCES planned obligations for MPO for all systems (8) Grand Total of ALL FUND SOURCES actual obligations for MPO for all systems (9) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations for MPO for all systems (9) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations for MPO for all systems (9) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations for MPO for all systems (9) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations for MPO for all systems (9) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations for MPO for all systems (9) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations for MPO for all systems (9) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations for MPO for all systems (9) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations for MPO for all systems (9) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations for MPO for all systems (9) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations for MPO for all systems (9) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations for MPO for all systems (9) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations for MPO for all systems (9) Difference between FFY23 actual o													(a) Remaining:	
(1) Planned obligations by Fund Source (2) Actual obligations by Fund Source (1A) Total planned obligations (2A) Total actual obligations (3) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations vs. FFY23 planned obligations (Funds Remaining Available for Subsequent Years) **Indicates no funds remain (B) Obligations released from the Project (FedID(s)) (FedID(s)) (FedID(s)) (For Total planned obligations by Fund Source by System (4) Total planned obligations by Fund Source by System (5) Total actual obligations by Fund Source by System (6) Obligations refers between FFY23 actual obligations vs. FFY23 planned obligations (Funds Remaining Available for Subsequent Years) (5) Total actual obligations by Fund Source by System (5) Total actual obligations for System (6) Obligations of System (7) Total planned obligations by Fund Source for all Systems (8) Total actual obligations by MPO by Fund Source for all Systems (7) Total planned obligations by MPO by Fund Source for all Systems (8) Grand Total of ALL FUND SOURCES planned obligations for MPO for all systems (8) Grand Total of ALL FUND SOURCES actual obligations for MPO for all systems (9) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations for MPO for all systems (9) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations for MPO for all systems (9) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations for MPO for all systems (9) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations for MPO for all systems (9) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations for MPO for all systems (9) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations for MPO for all systems (9) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations for MPO for all systems (9) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations for MPO for all systems (9) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations for MPO for all systems (9) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations for MPO for all systems (9) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations for MPO for all systems (9) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations for MPO for all systems (9) Difference between FFY23 actual o	ROJECT OBLIGATION	5												•	
Calcase Calc			Source												
(1A) Total planed obligations (2A) Total actual obligations (3) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations vs. FFY23 planned obligations (Funds Remaining Available for Subsequent Years) *Indicates no funds remain (B) Obligations released from the Project (InCO) Project in closeout/final vouchered (FedID(s)) (FedID(s)) (FedID(s)) (For Incompany (FedID(s															
Total actual obligations Up to \$2 100%											- 1	(Estimate o		amendment)	- 1
Signature Sign															andmost .
*indicates no funds remain (B) Obligations released from the Project (InCO) Project in closeout/final vouchered (Project in closeout/final vouc			tual obligations	vs FFV23 nlann	ed obligations (Funds Remain	ing Available fo	r Subsequent V	(aars)			TIP Escribe Amount (III	IIIO(S)	Limit Requiring Air	encinent.
R Obligations released from the Project \$250 to 100 5200 \$29%			tual congetions	vs. 11 125 pianii	ed obligations (r arras neman	ing Available to	oubsequent i	cars,						
InCO Project in closeout/final vouchered \$250.06.355 15%			a Project												
FedID(s) FMIS ID associated with UPC > 535 10%		-	-								-				
(4) Total planned obligations by Fund Source by System (5) Total actual obligations of System (4A) Total planned obligations of System (5A) Total actual obligations for System (6) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations vs. FFY23 planned obligations (Funds Remaining Available for Subsequent Years) PO SUBTOTAL (7) Total planned obligations by MPO by Fund Source for all systems (8) Total actual obligations by MPO by Fund Source for all Systems (7A) Grand Total of ALL FUND SOURCES planned obligations for MPO for all systems (8A) Grand Total of ALL FUND SOURCES actual obligations for MPO for all systems (9) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations vs. FFY23 planned obligations (Funds Remaining Available for Subsequent Years) *indicates no funds remain			lieleu								-				
(4) Total planned obligations by Fund Source by System (5) Total actual obligations of System (4A) Total planned obligations of System (5A) Total actual obligations for System (6) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations vs. FFY23 planned obligations (Funds Remaining Available for Subsequent Years) PO SUBTOTAL (7) Total planned obligations by MPO by Fund Source for all systems (8) Total actual obligations by MPO by Fund Source for all Systems (7A) Grand Total of ALL FUND SOURCES planned obligations for MPO for all systems (8A) Grand Total of ALL FUND SOURCES actual obligations for MPO for all systems (9) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations vs. FFY23 planned obligations (Funds Remaining Available for Subsequent Years) *indicates no funds remain		IVIIS ID associated With OFC													
(5) Total actual obligations by Fund Source by System (4A) Total planned obligations of System (5A) Total actual obligations for System (6) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations vs. FFY23 planned obligations (Funds Remaining Available for Subsequent Years) PO SUBTOTAL (7) Total planned obligations by MPO by Fund Source for all systems (8) Total actual obligations by MPO by Fund Source for all Systems (7A) Grand Total of ALL FUND SOURCES planned obligations for MPO for all systems (8A) Grand Total of ALL FUND SOURCES actual obligations for MPO for all systems (9) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations vs. FFY23 planned obligations (Funds Remaining Available for Subsequent Years) *indicates no funds remain		and alamand ablications but	Francis Common Inc.	S											
(4A) Total planned obligations of System (5A) Total actual obligations for System (6) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations vs. FFY23 planned obligations (Funds Remaining Available for Subsequent Years) OSUBTOTAL (7) Total planned obligations by MPO by Fund Source for all systems (8) Total actual obligations by MPO by Fund Source for all Systems (7A) Grand Total of ALL FUND SOURCES planned obligations for MPO for all systems (8A) Grand Total of ALL FUND SOURCES planned obligations for MPO for all systems (9) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations vs. FFY23 planned obligations (Funds Remaining Available for Subsequent Years) *indicates no funds remain															
(SA) Total actual obligations for System (6) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations vs. FFY23 planned obligations (Funds Remaining Available for Subsequent Years) OSUBTOTAL (7) Total planned obligations by MPO by Fund Source for all systems (8) Total actual obligations by MPO by Fund Source for all Systems (7A) Grand Total of ALL FUND SOURCES planned obligations for MPO for all systems (8A) Grand Total of ALL FUND SOURCES actual obligations for all systems (9) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations vs. FFY23 planned obligations (Funds Remaining Available for Subsequent Years) *indicates no funds remain				stem											
(6) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations vs. FFY23 planned obligations (Funds Remaining Available for Subsequent Years) OSUBTOTAL (7) Total planned obligations by MPO by Fund Source for all systems (8) Total actual obligations by MPO by Fund Source for all Systems (7A) Grand Total of ALL FUND SOURCES planned obligations for MPO for all systems (8A) Grand Total of ALL FUND SOURCES actual obligations for all systems (9) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations vs. FFY23 planned obligations (Funds Remaining Available for Subsequent Years) *indicates no funds remain			•												
(7) Total planned obligations by MPO by Fund Source for all systems (8) Total actual obligations by MPO by Fund Source for all Systems (7A) Grand Total of ALL FUND SOURCES planned obligations for MPO for all systems (8A) Grand Total of ALL FUND SOURCES actual obligations for all systems (9) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations vs. FFY23 planned obligations (Funds Remaining Available for Subsequent Years) *indicates no funds remain				FEV22 -I		rd. D	: A: -b - £	- C b	/\						
(7) Total planned obligations by MPO by Fund Source for all systems (8) Total actual obligations by MPO by Fund Source for all Systems (7A) Grand Total of ALL FUND SOURCES planned obligations for MPO for all systems (8A) Grand Total of ALL FUND SOURCES actual obligations for all systems (9) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations vs. FFY23 planned obligations (Funds Remaining Available for Subsequent Years) *indicates no funds remain		interence between FF123 ac	tual obligations	vs. FF123 plann	ed obligations (runos Kemair	ling Available to	r Subsequent 1	ears)						
(8) Total actual obligations by MPO by Fund Source for all Systems (7A) Grand Total of ALL FUND SOURCES planned obligations for MPO for all systems (8A) Grand Total of ALL FUND SOURCES actual obligations for all systems (9) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations vs. FFY23 planned obligations (Funds Remaining Available for Subsequent Years) *indicates no funds remain															
(7A) Grand Total of ALL FUND SOURCES planned obligations for MPO for all systems (8A) Grand Total of ALL FUND SOURCES actual obligations for all systems (9) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations vs. FFY23 planned obligations (Funds Remaining Available for Subsequent Years) *indicates no funds remain				_											
(8A) Grand Total of ALL FUND SOURCES actual obligations for all systems (9) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations vs. FFY23 planned obligations (Funds Remaining Available for Subsequent Years) *indicates no funds remain															
(9) Difference between FFY23 actual obligations vs. FFY23 planned obligations (Funds Remaining Available for Subsequent Years) *indicates no funds remain						ems									
*indicates no funds remain				_	•										
			tual obligations	vs. FFY23 plann	ed obligations	(Funds Remai	ning Available fo	r Subsequent '	Years)						
tes:		indicates no funds remain													
	otes:														

- В. For projects where the obligated amount exceeds the TIP amount identified - Based on the total estimated cost of the project phase vs. the sliding scale, no TIP action was required; and/or AC conversion
- C. By project; Funds indicated "()" denote release of obligation
- Release obligations are not calculated in any totals

Notes:

- a. For projects where obligations identified with no TIP amount identified -- The transaction was a modification and based on the sliding scale, no TIP action was required; and/or AC conversion
- b. For projects where the obligated amount exceeds the TIP amount identified -- Based on the total estimated cost of the project phase vs. the sliding scale, no TIP action was required; and/or AC conversion
- c. By project: Funds indicated w/ () -- release of obligation
- d. Release obligations are not calculated in any totals

						TIP Trans								
							O Study Area							
				Federal C	Obligated Fun	ds: October	1, 2022 - Sep	tember 30, i	2023					
	110010 11	Suusauuss		0701070.0	55,010		Funding Sour			DEM S		NEW.	000	70741
District / Jurisdiction	UPC / Description	n NHS/NHPF	NHFP	STP/STBG	EB/MG	CMAQ Intersta	HIP te	RSTP	BR/BROS	DEMO	PROTECT	NEVI	CRP	TOTAL
						microto								
	0 No projec	ts identified in the M			50	50	50	50	50	50	50		\$0	
		TIP:	50 \$1	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	Remaining:	
												0	rtemanning.	
						Primar	У							
	0 No projec	ts identified in the M	IPO Area											
	o No projec		50 SI	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	
													Remaining:	
												0		
						Urban								
arlottesville														
	60233 HILLSDALI FedID(s) 5104226	E DRIVE EXTENDED	(3 LANES) - GREEN	BRIER DRIVE; HY	DRAULIC ROAD (0.8500 MI)								
		TIP: \$0	50	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	
	InCO Obliga	ited: \$0	\$0	\$25,512	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	\$25
													Remaining:	
arlottesville	75070 #0CD401D	DT 00 DDIDGED	EDI ACEMENT CA	DDETT STDEETS	Dodavenie (o.4)	70:41614		MADVET STD	FFT 10 00F 41	-£1M-4 0441	(0.0000.141)			
	75878 #SGR19LB FedID(s) 5104296	- KT ZU - BRIDGE R	EPLACEMENT - GA	KKEII SIKEEI/LI	EVY AVENUE (0.1	/3 mi south of v	rater Street); EAS	MARKETSIK	EET (0.095 north	or water Street)	(U.268U MI)			
		TIP: \$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	
	Obliga	ited: \$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$585,516	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	\$585
													Remaining:	
arlottesville	110381 #HB2.FY17	EMMET ST STD SC	ADE 8 INTSECT O	ADVEE DEDT SEDI	ACE.									
	FedID(s) 5104256	EMMET ST. STR SC	AFE & INTSECT OF	ARVEE DEBT SERV	VICE									
		TIP: \$217,758	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	\$217
	Obliga	sted: \$580,259	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	\$580
													Remaining:	
												0		
	URBAN SUBTO	TAL TIP: \$217,758	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$217
	Obliga				\$0	\$0	\$585,516	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,191
	Relea				\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
													Remaining:	

STIP Transactions Charlottesville MPO Study Area Federal Obligated Funds: October 1, 2022 - September 30, 2023 Funding Source/Amount District / Jurisdiction UPC / Description NHS/NHPP NHFP STP/STBG EB/MG CMAQ HIP RSTP BR/BROS DEMO PROTECT NEVI CRP TOTAL Secondary 0 No projects identified in the MPO Area TIP: Remaining: Miscellaneous 0 No projects identified in the MPO Area Remaining: Public Transportation 0 No projects identified in the MPO Area TIP: Remaining: 0 No projects identified in the MPO Area Remaining: Enhancement 0 No projects identified in the MPO Area TIP: Remaining: Grouping G507 Construction: Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement/Reconstruction - (T9936507) \$3,331,112 6507 TIP: \$3,331,112 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,024,151 Obligated: \$928,300 \$0 \$95,851 \$0 \$2,306,961 Remaining:

STIP Transactions

Charlottesville MPO Study Area

							Funding Sou	rce/Amount						
District / Jurisdiction	UPC / Description	NHS/NHPP	NHFP	STP/STBG	EB/MG	CMAQ	HIP	RSTP	BR/BROS	DEMO	PROTECT	NEVI	CRP	TOTAL
		: Safety/ITS/Operat												
	6506 TIF		\$0	\$75,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	\$75,000
	Obligated			\$3,873,565	\$2,595,663	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	\$32,823,551
	Release	1: \$0	\$0	(\$50,000)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	(\$50,000)
													Remaining:	*
	0504 0	T		N T P.C	(70000504)									
		: Transportation Al				50	50	50	50	50	50			60
	6501 TII		\$0 \$0	\$0 \$102,800	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	0	\$0 \$0	\$0
	Obligated	1: \$0	\$0	\$102,000	şu	ŞU	ŞU	şu	ŞU	ŞU	ŞU	U		\$102,800
													Remaining:	
	GROUPING SUBTOTA		401	477.000	40	40	40	4.0	40.000.000	40	40	40	40	** *** ***
	TIF	-	\$0	\$75,000	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,331,112	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,406,112
	Obligate		\$0 \$0	\$4,904,665 (\$50,000)	\$2,595,663 \$0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$95,851 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$33,950,502
	Release	1: \$0	\$0	(\$50,000)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	şu	\$U	**	(\$50,000)
													Remaining:	
	MDO SUDTOTA													
	MPO SUBTOTA		**	\$75,000	ėn.	**	ė».	**	\$0.004.440	40	40	••	**	40.000.000
	TIF	-	\$0	\$75,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,331,112	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,623,870
	Obligated			\$4,930,177	\$2,595,663	\$0	\$585,516	\$0	\$95,851	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$35,141,789
	Release	1: \$0	\$0	(\$50,000)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	**	(\$50,000)
													Remaining:	*

FTA 5339	2022 2022 2022 2022 2022 2022 2022	CMAQ	RSTP	STP	\$2,867,365.00 \$25,277.00 \$60,900.00 \$90,720.00	Other	\$2,867,365.00 \$25,277.00 \$60,900.00 \$90,720.00
FTA 5339 FTA 5339 FTA 5339 FTA 5339 FTA 5339	2022 2022 2022 2022				\$25,277.00 \$60,900.00		\$25,277.00 \$60,900.00
FTA 5339 FTA 5339 FTA 5339 FTA 5339 FTA 5339	2022 2022 2022 2022				\$25,277.00 \$60,900.00		\$25,277.00 \$60,900.00
FTA 5339 FTA 5339 FTA 5339 FTA 5339 FTA 5339	2022 2022 2022 2022				\$25,277.00 \$60,900.00		\$25,277.00 \$60,900.00
FTA 5339 FTA 5339 FTA 5339 FTA 5339	2022 2022 2022				\$60,900.00		\$60,900.00
FTA 5339 FTA 5339 FTA 5339 FTA 5339	2022 2022 2022				\$60,900.00		\$60,900.00
FTA 5339 FTA 5339 FTA 5339	2022				,		,
FTA 5339 FTA 5339 FTA 5339	2022				,		,
FTA 5339 FTA 5339	2022				\$90,720.00		\$00.720.00
FTA 5339 FTA 5339	2022				\$90,720.00		¢00 720 00
FTA 5339							φ 9 0,720.00
FTA 5339							
	2022				\$8,400.00		\$8,400.00
	2022	1					
FTA 5339					\$17,431.00		\$17,431.00
FTA 5339							
	2022				\$465,500.00		\$465,500.00
FTA 5339	2022				\$162,820.00		\$162,820.00
FTA 5307	2022				\$956,676.00		\$956,676.00
FTA 5311	2022				\$2,650,146.00		\$2,650,146.00
FTA 5311	2022				\$13,440.00		\$13,440.00
FTA 5311	2022				\$45,749.00		\$45,749.00
FTA 5311	2022				\$22,400.00		\$22,400.00
FTA 5311	2022				\$6,400.00		\$6,400.00
FTA 5311	2022				\$67,545.00		\$67,545.00
FTA 5311	2022				\$112,000.00		\$112,000.00
FTA 5311	2022				\$28,000.00		\$28,000.00
					· •		. ,
FTA 5311	2022				\$17,200.00		\$17,200.00
					·		
FTA 5311	2022				\$13,350.00		\$13,350.00
		\$-	\$-	\$-	\$7,631,319.00	\$-	\$7,631,319.00
	FTA 5311 FTA 5311 FTA 5311 FTA 5311 FTA 5311 FTA 5311 FTA 5311	FTA 5311 2022 FTA 5311 2022	FTA 5311 2022 FTA 5311 2022	FTA 5311 2022 FTA 5311 2022	FTA 5311 2022 FTA 5311 2022	FTA 5311 2022 \$45,749.00 FTA 5311 2022 \$22,400.00 FTA 5311 2022 \$6,400.00 FTA 5311 2022 \$67,545.00 FTA 5311 2022 \$112,000.00 FTA 5311 2022 \$28,000.00 FTA 5311 2022 \$17,200.00 FTA 5311 2022 \$13,350.00	FTA 5311 2022 \$45,749.00 FTA 5311 2022 \$22,400.00 FTA 5311 2022 \$6,400.00 FTA 5311 2022 \$67,545.00 FTA 5311 2022 \$112,000.00 FTA 5311 2022 \$28,000.00 FTA 5311 2022 \$17,200.00 FTA 5311 2022 \$13,350.00

Appendix

Federal Obligated Funds

STIP Grouping Detail Charlottesville MPO Study Area Federal Obligated Funds: October 1, 2022 - September 30, 2023 Funding Source/Amount District / Jurisdiction UPC / Description NHS/NHPP NHFP STP/STBG EB/MG CMAQ BR/BROS DEMO PROTECT NEVI TOTAL T9936501 - Construction: Transportation Alternatives/Byway/Non-Traditional Charlottesville 111393 Rugby Avenue Shared Use Path - West McIntire Park; Westwood Road FedID(s) 5104318 1393 \$0 TIP: \$0 \$0 \$0 \$2,800 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 50 \$0 Obligated: \$2,800 50 Remaining: Charlottesville 121656 CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE SRTS COORDINATOR/PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT - VARIOUS: VARIOUS FedID(s) 5104321 1656 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$100,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$100,000 Obligated: Remaining: T9936501 - CONSTRUCTION: TRANSPORTATION ALTERNATIVES/BYWAY/NON-TRADITIONAL SUBTOTAL \$0 \$102,800 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Obligated: \$102,800 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Released: Remaining: T9936506 - Construction : Safety/ITS/Operational Improvements Albemarle County 114299 ROUTE 29 AND WOODBROOK INTERSECTION MODIFICATION - Woodbrook Dr; Woodbrook Dr (0.0600 MI) FedID(s) 9677083 0029 TIP: \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 InCO Obligated: \$192 \$0 \$0 \$192 Remaining: Albemarle County 114401 Signal Performance Metric - ATSC - Rte. 649; Stone Ridge Drive FedID(s) 9677083 50 0029 50 50 \$0 \$0 \$243,733 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 50 \$0 \$243,733 InCO Obligated: Remaining: Albemarle County 118868 #SMART22 - US 29 AND FONTAINE AVE INTERCHANGE IMPROVEMENTS - S. FONTAINE INTERCHANGE RAMP; N. FONTAINE INTERCHANGE RAMP (0.7000 MI) FedID(s) 0027069 0029 \$0 \$0 TIP: \$1,799,189 \$0 \$0 50 50 \$0 50 50 50 50 \$0 50 \$1,799,189 Obligated: Remaining:

STIP Grouping Detail

Charlottesville MPO Study Area

							Funding Sour	ce/Amount						
District / Jurisdiction	UPC / Description	NHS/NHPP	NHFP	STP/STBG	EB/MG	CMAQ	HIP	RSTP	BR/BROS	DEMO	PROTECT	NEVI	CRP	TOTAL
Albemarle County												,		
	118871 #SMART22 -	RTE 29 SHARED USE	E PATH - 0.007 Mi.	S. CARRSBROO	K DR.; 0.021 Mi.	N. SEMINOLE LA	NE (0.5000 MI)							
	FedID(s) 5104304	-											-	
	0029 T Obligate	IP: \$0 ed: \$645.095	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$645,095
	Obligate	·u. \$040,030	40	40	**	***	40	**	40	Ψu	40	40	Remaining:	\$
Albemarle County													remaining.	
,	118876 #SMART22 -	RIO ROAD &JOHN W	ARNER PARKWA	Y ROUNDABOUT	- 0.02 MILES N R	TE. 631; 0.02 MIL	LES S. RTE 631 (N	MI)						
	FedID(s) 5104308							•						
	0631 T	IP: \$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Obligate	ed: \$0	\$0	\$1,529,703	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,529,703
													Remaining:	*
Albemarle County	440070 #0144.DT00	OLD LIMIOURUDO D	DIFT. AT EVT 140			DTE 700 0 05 11		0.5000.140						
	118878 #SMART22 - FedID(s) 5104307	OLD LYNCHBURG R	D/SIH SI EXI. IN	IMPROVEMENT	15 - 0.25 MILES 5.	. KIE /80; 0.25 M	IILES N. RTE /80 (0.5000 MI)						
		IP: \$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Obligate		\$0	\$739,980	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$739,980
													Remaining:	*
Albemarle County														
	122879 SIGNAL REE	BUILD AT ROUTES 25	0/240/635 - ROUTI	ES 250/240/635; F	ROUTES 250/240/	635								
	FedID(s) 5104330	-												
		IP: \$0 ed: \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$100,000	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
	Obligate	ed: \$0	şu	\$100,000	şu	şu	şu	şu	30	şu	30	ŞU	Remaining:	\$100,000
Albemarle County													Remaining.	
,	123044 #SMART20/2	2 - RTE. 250 & RTE. 2	0 INT & CORRIDO	R IMPROVEMEN	ITS - 0.054 M. W.	RTE. 20: 0.567 Mi	iles E. Rte. 20 (0.6	200 MI)						
	FedID(s) 5104331							,						
	0250 T	IP: \$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Obligate	ed: \$2,885,234	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,885,234
													Remaining:	*
Charlottesville	400540 0	16 11 - D-41 -1 N		050 D										
	100548 Construct M FedID(s) 5104192	ulti-Use Path along M	cintire Rd - Route	250 Bypass; Hai	rris Street (MI)									
		IP: \$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Obligate		\$0	\$19,263	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$19,263
													Remaining:	*
Charlottesville														
	109484 #HB2.FY17 F	ONTAINE AVENUE S	TREETSCAPE IMP	ROVEMENTS - 0	0.03 mi west of W	esterly Avenue; J	JEFFERSON PARK	AVENUE (0.43	00 MI)					
	FedID(s) 5104255													
		IP: \$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Obligate	ed: \$212,465	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$212,465
													Remaining:	*

STIP Grouping Detail

Charlottesville MPO Study Area

								Funding Sour	ce/Amount						
istrict / Jurisdiction	UPC / Descriptio	n NHS/NH	PP NHF	P ST	P/STBG	EB/MG	CMAQ	HIP	RSTP	BR/BROS	DEMO	PROTECT	NEVI	CRP	TOTAL
arlottesville															
	111796 #SMART	18 - BARRACKS R	@ EMMET ST	INTERSECTI	ON - 0.06 MI	S OF INT. BARRA	ACKS ROAD; 0.0	8 MI N OF INT. BA	ARRACKS ROA	D (0.0900 MI)					
	FedID(s) 5104275														
	0029	TIP:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$
	Oblig	jated: \$49	5,738	\$0	\$49,809	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$545,54
														Remaining:	
harlottesville															
		STON PARK/MADI	SON AVENUE B	SICYCLE CON	NECTOR TRA	AIL - PRESTON A	AVENUE; ROSE H	ILL DRIVE							
	FedID(s) 5104293,														
	0000	TIP:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$
	Oblig	gated:	\$0	\$0	\$13,450	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$13,45
-1-4														Remaining:	
harlottesville	440045 DECENT	NAME IN THE PROPERTY OF	ITA MONTICE:	LODIDOE		- CD: 1 01- :	0.040-15-1		40.140						
		RIAN IMPROVEME	NIS MONTICEL	LO/RIDGE - 0).002 mi East	of Ridge Street;	0.046 mi East of I	Ridge Street (0.04	40 MI)						
	FedID(s) 5104287		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	s
	3405 InCO Oblid	TIP:	\$0	\$0	\$0	50	\$0	\$0	\$0	-	50	50	50	\$0	\$
	,	gated: ased:	\$0	\$0	(\$50,000)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$50,000
	- Kele	aseu.	***	40	(400,000)	***	***	**	***	40	40	40	40	Remaining:	(400,000
harlottesville														Remaining.	
Hallottesville	113916 10TH & G	RADY AVENUE BI	KE PED - 0 04 I	EAST OF BOIL	ITE 3423- 0 06	e WEST OF BOIL	TE 3423 (0 1000 B	MIN.							
	FedID(s) 5104320	IOAD I AVENUE DI	NE 1 ED - 0.04 I	LAST OF ROO	712 3423, 0.00	O WEST OF ROO	TE 3423 (0.1000 II	,							
	0250	TIP:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6
		jated:	\$0	\$0	\$96,618	50	\$0	50	\$0		\$0		50	50	\$96,61
	-	,			,,								*-	Remaining:	400,011
ulpeper District-wide														g.	
• •	116007 District-v	ide Pedestrian Cr	ossings Installa	ations - VARIO	OUS: VARIOU	IS									
	FedID(s) 9677099														
	9999	TIP:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Oblig	jated:	\$0	\$0	\$93,823	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$93,823
														Remaining:	,
ulti-jurisdictional: Charlotte	sville MPO														
	118880 #SMART2	2 - HYDRAULIC R	OAD AND RTE.	29 - ANGUS I	ROAD; 0.24 N	II North of HYDR	AULIC ROAD (0.5	300 MI)							
	FedID(s) 5104299														
		TIP:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$
	0029				\$986,994	\$2,595,663	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$23,899,25
		gated: \$20,310	,602	\$0	4500,554	4-11									
		yated: \$20,31	5,602	\$0	\$300,334									Remaining:	
		,		- 1										Remaining:	
	Oblig	,		- 1			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Remaining:	\$75,000
	Oblig	ON: SAFETY/ITS/	OPERATIONAL \$0	. IMPROVEME	NTS SUBTO	TAL	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	•	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0		
	Oblig T9936506 - CONSTRUCTI Oblig	ON: SAFETY/ITS/	OPERATIONAL \$0	. IMPROVEME \$0	NTS SUBTO	TAL \$0				\$0	-			\$0	\$75,000 \$32,823,551 (\$50,000)

STIP Grouping Detail

Charlottesville MPO Study Area

	Funding Source/Amount													
District / Jurisdiction	UPC / Description	NHS/NHPP	NHFP	STP/STBG	EB/MG	CMAQ	HIP F	RSTP	BR/BROS	DEMO	PROTECT	NEVI	CRP	TOTAL
			T9	936507 - Con	struction : Br	idge Rehabilitat	tion/Replacement	t/Reconstri	uction					
lbemarle County														
	110000 #SGR18VB -	RT 641 FRAYS MILI	RD STR 709 OVER	MARSH RUN -	0.03 MI. FROM R	TE. 743; 2.37 MI. TO	O RTE. 606 (0.0050 MI	I)						
	FedID(s) 5104278, 510	4303, 5104325												
	0641 TI	P: \$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	;
	Obligate	d: \$0	\$0	\$100,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$100,0
		Rema									Remaining:			
lbemarle County														
	111378 #SGR18VB -	RT 708 RED HILL R	D STRUCT 792 OVE	R N.F. HARDWA	ARE - 0.022 MI. E.	of North Fork Hard	dware River; 0.113 N	Mi. W. of Norh	t Fork Hardware	River (0.0870 N	MI)			
	FedID(s) 5104273, 510	4313, 5104319												
	0708 TI	P: \$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	Obligate	d: \$0	\$0	\$828,300	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$95,851	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$924,15
													Remaining:	
	TODDISSON CONSTRUCTION	BRIDGE REHABII	ITATION/REPLACE	MENT/RECONS	TRUCTION SUBT	OTAL								
	13336307 - CONSTRUCTION													
	TI		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,331,112	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,331,11
		P: \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$928,300	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$3,331,112 \$95,851	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	
	TI	P: \$0 d: \$0	\$0	*-		*-	-	**		*-	*-	•		\$1,024,15
	TI Obligate	P: \$0 d: \$0	\$0	\$928,300	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$95,851	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,024,15
	TI Obligate	P: \$0 d: \$0	\$0	\$928,300	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$95,851	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$1,024,1
	TI Obligate	P: \$0 d: \$0 d: \$0	\$0	\$928,300	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$95,851	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$1,024,1
	Ti Obligate Release	P: \$0 d: \$0 d: \$0	\$0	\$928,300	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$95,851	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$1,024,15 \$ \$2,306,96
	Ti Obligate Release MPO SUBTOTA	P: \$0 d: \$0 d: \$0 ML P: \$0	\$0 \$0	\$928,300 \$0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$95,851 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 Remaining:	\$1,024,15 \$ \$2,306,96 \$3,406,11
	TI Obligate Release MPO SUBTOTA TI	P: \$0 d: \$0 d: \$0 AL P: \$0 425,354,323	\$0 \$0	\$928,300 \$0 \$75,000	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$95,851 \$0 \$3,331,112	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 Remaining:	\$3,331,11 \$1,024,15 \$ \$2,306,96 \$3,406,11 \$33,950,50 (\$50,000